

# Quark-Gluon Plasma Overview

**Ajit Mohan Srivastava**

*Institute of Physics, Sachivalaya Marg, Bhubaneswar 751005, India*

Lecture Notes

XXI SERC School on Theoretical HEP

PRL, Ahmedabad, February 2006.

# Contents

|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>                                     | <b>5</b>  |
| <b>2</b> | <b>QCD</b>  | <b>9</b>  |
| 2.1      | Basic contents . . . . .                                | 9         |
| 2.2      | QED . . . . .   | 10        |
| 2.3      | Non-Abelian gauge symmetry: Yang-Mills Theory . . . . . | 13        |
| 2.3.1    | Self interaction of gauge fields . . . . .              | 15        |
| 2.3.2    | Generalization to other Lie Groups . . . . .            | 18        |
| 2.3.3    | Self interaction . . . . .                              | 19        |
| 2.4      | Symmetries of QCD . . . . .                             | 21        |
| 2.4.1    | Isospin Symmetry . . . . .                              | 21        |
| 2.4.2    | Chiral symmetry . . . . .                               | 21        |
| 2.5      | Feynman rules for QCD . . . . .                         | 21        |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Running coupling constant in QCD</b>                 | <b>24</b> |
| 3.1      | Physical Picture . . . . .                              | 24        |
| 3.1.1    | Effective charge in QED . . . . .                       | 25        |
| 3.1.2    | Meaning of the familiar symbol $e$ . . . . .            | 25        |
| 3.2      | $\beta$ function in QFT . . . . .                       | 25        |
| 3.2.1    | Divergences and Renormalization in QFT . . . . .        | 26        |
| 3.2.2    | 1PI Diagrams . . . . .                                  | 26        |
| 3.3      | Regularization . . . . .                                | 27        |
| 3.3.1    | Pauli-Villars regularization . . . . .                  | 27        |
| 3.3.2    | Cut-off regularization . . . . .                        | 27        |
| 3.3.3    | Dimensional Regularization . . . . .                    | 27        |
| 3.4      | Scalar Theory . . . . .                                 | 28        |
| 3.4.1    | Renormalization . . . . .                               | 30        |

|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 3.5      | Renormalization group . . . . .                               | 32        |
| 3.6      | $\beta$ function . . . . .                                    | 35        |
| 3.7      | $\beta$ function for a scalar $\phi^4$ theory . . . . .       | 36        |
| 3.8      | Running coupling constant in QED . . . . .                    | 37        |
| 3.9      | Asymptotic freedom in QCD . . . . .                           | 38        |
| 3.10     | Running of $\alpha_s$ with Momentum Scale . . . . .           | 40        |
| 3.11     | High density behavior . . . . .                               | 40        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Field theory at finite temperature</b>                     | <b>41</b> |
| 4.1      | Partition Function . . . . .                                  | 41        |
| 4.1.1    | Quarks . . . . .  | 44        |
| 4.1.2    | Gluons . . . . .  | 45        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Quark confinement</b>                                      | <b>47</b> |
| 5.1      | Potential models . . . . .                                    | 48        |
| 5.2      | String model of quark confinement . . . . .                   | 48        |
| 5.3      | Bag models . . . . .  | 49        |
| 5.4      | Transition to the QGP state in the Bag model . . . . .        | 51        |
| 5.4.1    | QGP at high temperature . . . . .                             | 52        |
| 5.4.2    | QGP with high baryon density . . . . .                        | 52        |
| <b>6</b> | <b>Relativistic Heavy-ion collisions</b>                      | <b>55</b> |
| 6.1      | Rapidity Variable . . . . .                                   | 55        |
| 6.2      | Pseudorapidity variable . . . . .                             | 56        |
| 6.2.1    | Mandelstam variables . . . . .                                | 57        |
| <b>7</b> | <b>Bjorken's picture of relativistic heavy-ion collisions</b> | <b>58</b> |
| 7.1      | Estimates of the central energy density . . . . .             | 58        |
| 7.1.1    | Central rapidity region . . . . .                             | 60        |

|          |  |           |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 7.1.2    | Energy density estimate . . . . .                                      | 61        |
| 7.2      | Evolution of QGP . . . . .   | 62        |
| <b>8</b> | <b>QGP Signals</b>   | <b>63</b> |
| 8.1      | Production of Dileptons and photons in QGP . . . . .                   | 63        |
| 8.2      | $J/\psi$ Suppression . . . . .   | 64        |
| 8.3      | Elliptic Flow . . . . .  | 65        |
| <b>9</b> | <b>Phase Transitions</b>   | <b>66</b> |
| 9.1      | First order phase transition . . . . .                                 | 66        |
| 9.2      | Second order phase transition . . . . .                                | 67        |
| 9.3      | Order Parameter . . . . .  | 67        |
| 9.4      | Landau Theory of Phase Transition . . . . .                            | 68        |
| 9.5      | Construction of $L$ . . . . .  | 69        |
| 9.6      | Confinement-deconfinement transition . . . . .                         | 70        |
| 9.7      | D-C transition as a symmetry breaking transition . . . . .             | 72        |
| 9.8      | Confinement - Deconfinement transition with dynamical quarks . . . . . | 75        |

# 1 Introduction

The physics of the Quark Gluon Plasma (QGP) is being actively investigated presently theoretically as well as experimentally. The motivation for this comes from the cosmos as well as from attempts to understand the phase diagram of strongly interacting matter. The universe consisted of quark-gluon plasma during the early stages when the age of the universe was less than a few microseconds. It is also believed that the cores of various compact astrophysical objects, e.g. neutron stars, may be in the QGP phase. Laboratory experiments consisting of collision of heavy nuclei at ultra-relativistic energies are being carried out in an attempt to create a transient phase of QGP in tiny regions of space. These lectures will provide an overall picture of QGP starting with a basic understanding of Quantum Chromo Dynamics (QCD) which is the theory of strong interactions. Let us start by recalling the four basic interactions: Electromagnetic, Weak, Strong, and Gravity. We know that the first two of these are unified into “Electroweak Interaction”. There are attempts to unify the electroweak and strong interactions into an, as yet unknown, Grand Unified Theory (GUT). Unification of all the four basic forces is attempted in String Theories. How well do we understand these forces individually?

**Electromagnetic:** The complete theory of Electromagnetism is provided by Quantum Electrodynamics (QED). This theory is well understood and its predictions have been verified in experiments with very high accuracy.

**Electroweak Theory:** Of course, the complete theory of Electromagnetism is given only when unified with weak interactions. Electroweak theory is also well understood, and its predictions are verified in experiments. One major “missing part” of the theory is the Higgs boson which plays a crucial role in the formulation of the theory (spontaneous symmetry breaking leading to massive W and Z bosons which are responsible for the “weakness” of the weak force).

At the present stage this is an experimental problem only. Properties of the Higgs particle are predicted by the theory (expected mass range, etc.) and it is hoped that at the upcoming Large Hadron Collider (LHC) one may be able to detect it. If the Higgs particle is not detected at LHC, it will open up much ground for theoretical work as theories beyond the standard model will have to be explored.

**Gravity:** Gravitational interactions are very well understood at the classical level in terms of Einstein’s general theory of relativity. However, at present there is no theory of Quantum Gravity. There are various attempts towards Quantum Gravity. The most popular approach is in terms of String Theories. There are other approaches within conventional frameworks, e.g. using canonical quantization (Loop Quantum Gravity) etc.

**Strong Interactions:** Let us now discuss strong interactions which will be the subject of these Lectures. The theory for strong interactions is believed to be “Quantum Chromo Dynamics” (QCD). The basic ingredients for QCD were proposed by studying properties of hadrons which are supposed to be made up of the basic degrees of freedom in QCD, namely quarks. Interactions between quarks are mediated by gluons (in the same way as photons mediate interaction between electrons).

Theoretical investigations of QCD show a remarkable property of strong interactions. At very high energies, the strength of the interaction between quarks becomes smaller. In other words, the effective coupling constant of strong interactions becomes smaller at large energies, eventually approaching zero. This is known as “asymptotic freedom”. This behavior is the opposite of the behavior in QED where the coupling constant increases with energy. Asymptotic freedom (for which there was already evidence from deep inelastic scattering experiments) is well tested in experiments to a high accuracy. However the understanding of QCD in the domain of low energy remains poor. This is the domain where hadrons form, and quarks are **confined** in these hadrons. Recall, it was the study of these hadrons which led to the formulation of QCD.

Apart from this “confinement” there is another domain where QCD is not well understood. This is the domain of high temperature and high density matter. From the theoretical side it is expected, based on asymptotic freedom, that at high temperatures the interactions between quarks will become weak. Does that mean we should get an ideal gas of quarks and gluons at high temperatures?

Some of these questions led to the search for the so called “Quark Gluon Plasma” phase of QCD. From general physical arguments one expects that at sufficiently high temperatures (at  $T > T_c \sim 170$  MeV, the deconfinement temperature) and densities, quarks and gluons are no more confined. Essentially at such high temperatures and/or densities, one has overlapping hadrons, so it makes no sense to talk about quarks and gluons confined inside individual hadrons.

However, it should be clear that at high  $T$  or high density  $\rho$ , one is inevitably dealing with

many body effects. Here the understanding obtained from deep inelastic scattering experiments may not be directly applicable. Also, it appears that the interactions between partons are not *weak* at temperatures achievable in laboratory (in relativistic heavy ion collisions). At present, we also do not have theoretical tools to properly analyze the behavior of QCD in these domains using analytic calculations (except possibly at ultra high temperatures). Lattice QCD is the only theoretical tool we know for understanding this domain. Results so far lead to interesting behavior of quarks and gluons in this QGP phase.

A direct motivation for understanding this high  $T$ ,  $\rho$  domain comes from cosmology and astrophysics. In the standard Big Bang theory of the universe, the temperature of the universe was very high initially. When the age of the universe was less than  $10^{-6}$  sec, its temperature was higher than about 200 MeV. So we expect that the universe was filled with QGP at those early times. To understand the evolution of the universe at those early times one must understand the properties of the QGP phase at high  $T$ . Further expansion and cooling of the universe converts QGP to hadrons. This is expected to be a phase transition (or, more likely, a cross over) at a critical temperature of about 170 MeV. If it is a first order transition then it could have consequences for different primordial element abundances in the universe.

In the present day universe, there are heavy and superdense objects known as neutron stars. These form at the end of fusion reaction chains of regular stars which undergo supernova explosion. The mass density in a neutron star is about  $10^{14}$  gm/cm<sup>3</sup>. At the center of these neutron stars the density may be even higher, of the order of several times the nuclear density. It is expected that in the cores of neutron stars hadrons (neutrons/protons) may be closely packed so that quarks and gluons may be no more confined, leading to high density (not high temperature) QGP. Various properties of neutron stars (maximum mass, spin, etc.) depend crucially on the properties of this type of core.

All of these are theoretical consideration. Even neutron stars are accessible only through indirect observations. The universe at the age of less than  $10^{-6}$  sec is in the past and no experiments are possible for that. So, how do we test our theoretical modeling of QGP at high  $T$  and/or high  $\rho$  which is relevant for these cases?

Relativistic heavy ion collisions allow us the possibility for doing this. For example, at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC), at Brookhaven, USA, beams of Au-Au are collided at 200 GeV per nucleon pair center of mass energy. We first discuss, briefly, the physics of these experiments - a detailed discussion will be provided later. As the nuclei are accelerated to very high energies, spherical nuclei get Lorentz contracted. (Note that Lorentz factor  $\sim$

100 but the Lorentz contracted width is not less than 1 fm due to quantum effects.) At such high energies nuclei, or even protons and neutrons for that matter, lose their identity and the interaction between nuclei becomes effectively quark-quark interactions. Due to asymptotic freedom, this interaction is also weak, so most of the quarks go through each other, creating secondary partons in the middle. The density of these secondary partons grows due to multiple scatterings and the system thermalizes. This central thermalized system cools as it expands. If its initial temperature is above 200 MeV, we expect that it should be in an equilibrated QGP state. On subsequent expansion it should undergo a phase transition to a hadronic system.

Note that the resulting system is just like what was present in the early universe (apart from some differences like expansion rate, etc.). Thus investigation of this system allows us to probe a part of the early history of our universe.

Experiments at lower energies (AGS and future GSI experiments in Germany) lead to higher baryon densities in the center (as the quark-quark interaction is stronger at lower energies), though lower temperature. This matter is similar to neutron star core matter and could help us in understanding this domain of QCD.

Above all, studying the creation of QGP and the subsequent phase transition to hadrons helps us in better understanding confining forces between quarks because the process of hadron formation at the transition stage depends crucially on that.

We will discuss these relativistic heavy-ion collision experiments in these lectures. Through these experiments we can probe different parts of the QCD phase diagram. The phase boundaries in the QCD phase diagram are obtained from several symmetry arguments, or in effective low energy models. Lattice calculation also give us some handle on these (especially for zero or small baryon chemical potential).

The plan of the lectures is as follows. First we will provide a general introduction to QCD leading to the concept of asymptotic freedom and running coupling constant [1]. Since the whole discussion is based on QCD, we will discuss important aspects of QCD including its basic structure in detail. Then we will sketch steps to give the basic idea of running coupling constant and asymptotic freedom for QCD.

Next we discuss the prediction of QGP phase of QCD [2, 3]. We will see how general arguments lead us to the prediction of QGP phase of QCD. We will discuss arguments based on running coupling constant as well as more detailed ones based on the Bag model of hadrons leading to the expectation that QGP phase should exist at high temperature as well



as high density.

Following this we will discuss QGP formation and evolution in relativistic heavy-ion collisions [4]. We will discuss the Bjorken picture for the evolution and various signals of QGP [6]. We will then discuss various topics such as the confinement-deconfinement phase transition, etc.

## 2 QCD

Our approach will not be historical. We will list the requirements, from experimental evidence, for the theory of strong interactions and then argue that QCD satisfies these requirements.

### 2.1 Basic contents

1. We know that there are six quarks.

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} c \\ s \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} t \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$

$u, c, t$  quarks have charges  $+\frac{2}{3}e$  while  $d, s, b$  have charges  $-\frac{1}{3}e$ .

| Quark masses | Current quark mass | Constituent quark mass |
|--------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| d            | 15 MeV             | 330 MeV                |
| u            | 7 MeV              | 330 MeV                |
| s            | 200 MeV            | 500 MeV                |
| c            | 1.3 GeV            | 1.5 GeV                |
| b            | 4.8 GeV            | 5 GeV                  |
| t            | 170 GeV            | -                      |

**Note:** no free quarks are seen, and we do not list constituent quark mass for  $t$  quark as no hadrons involving  $t$  quark are known yet. The current quark mass is what goes in the QCD Lagrangian. The Constituent quark mass tells us how the quark behave inside hadrons (i.e, it accounts for the confining forces)

2. Quarks are spin 1/2 fermions and have an internal quantum number called color. Hadron spectroscopy tells that there are 3 colors for each quark and that hadrons are color singlets (the color wave function is totally antisymmetric). This is known as color confinement and is required by the fact that no isolated quarks are observed. They only appear inside hadrons. There are two types of hadrons made up of quarks.

Mesons :  $q\bar{q}$  systems, Baryons :  $qqq$  systems, and their antiparticles.

With the above quark content, we need an interaction between quarks with the following properties:

3. The interaction should lead to color confinement. Thus the interaction should correspond to the color charges of quarks. Following success of QED, we want to construct a “gauge theory” of color interaction.
4. Deep-inelastic scattering of leptons with nucleons shows Bjorken scaling which shows that at short distances quarks are almost free: this is the ‘asymptotic freedom’. Thus, we need a theory where the coupling constant becomes small at large energies. In 4 dimensions, only Yang-Mills theories show this type of behavior. These are gauge theories with a non-Abelian gauge group.

Combining the requirement of color charge interaction (with 3 different colors), we come to a theory of strong interactions based on the  $SU(3)$  color gauge group. This is called Quantum Chromo Dynamics (QCD) and is believed to be the correct theory of strong interaction.

To understand this theory, we will first recall basics of QED which is a gauge theory based on the Abelian gauge group  $U(1)$ . We will then generalize the construction to QCD.

## 2.2 QED

First recall the Lagrangian for a free electron field  $\psi(x)$

$$L_0 = \bar{\psi}(x)(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m)\psi(x)$$

$L_0$  has a global U(1) symmetry under the transformation

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x) &\rightarrow \psi'(x) = e^{-i\alpha}\psi(x) \\ \bar{\psi}(x) &\rightarrow \bar{\psi}'(x) = e^{i\alpha}\bar{\psi}(x)\end{aligned}$$

Here  $\alpha$  is the parameter of the symmetry transformation.  $\alpha$  is independent of  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $t$  and hence it is called a global symmetry transformation. We generalize this symmetry to a local gauge symmetry when  $\alpha$  depends on  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $t$ , so  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha(x)$ . Note: The motivation for this is simply that we know this way we can write down theory of electromagnetic interactions of charged particles.

With  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha(x)$  one says that the symmetry is gauged. So, now we consider the following transformation

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x) &\rightarrow \psi'(x) = e^{-i\alpha(x)}\psi(x) \\ \bar{\psi}(x) &\rightarrow \bar{\psi}'(x) = e^{i\alpha(x)}\bar{\psi}(x)\end{aligned}$$

With  $L_0 = \bar{\psi}(x)(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m)\psi(x)$  we see that  $m\bar{\psi}\psi$  term is invariant under this transformation, but the derivative term is not invariant.

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\psi}(x)\partial_\mu\psi(x) &\rightarrow \bar{\psi}'(x)\partial_\mu\psi'(x) \\ &= \bar{\psi}(x)e^{i\alpha(x)}\partial_\mu(e^{-i\alpha(x)}\psi(x)) \\ &= \bar{\psi}(x)\partial_\mu\psi(x) - i\bar{\psi}(x)(\partial_\mu\alpha(x))\psi(x)\end{aligned}$$

The second term on the r.h.s. spoils the invariance. If instead of  $\bar{\psi}(x)\partial_\mu\psi(x)$ , we had a term  $\bar{\psi}(x)D_\mu\psi(x)$  where  $D_\mu\psi(x)$  has simple transformation rule

$$D_\mu\psi(x) \rightarrow [D_\mu\psi(x)]' = e^{-i\alpha(x)}D_\mu\psi(x)$$

(i.e.  $D_\mu\psi(x)$  transforms in the same way as  $\psi(x)$ ), then  $\bar{\psi}(x)D_\mu\psi(x)$  will be gauge invariant.  $D_\mu\psi(x)$  is called the gauge-covariant derivative (or simply covariant derivative) of  $\psi(x)$ .

One can realize this requirement of  $D_\mu\psi(x)$  by enlarging the theory by including a new vector field  $A_\mu(x)$ , the gauge field. With this,

$$D_\mu\psi(x) = (\partial_\mu + ieA_\mu)\psi(x)$$

(where  $e$  is a parameter which is identified with the electric charge) One can easily check that the requirement

$$[D_\mu\psi(x)]' = e^{-i\alpha(x)}D_\mu(x)\psi(x)$$

implies the following transformation property for the gauge field:

$$A'_\mu(x) = A_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{e}\partial_\mu\alpha(x)$$

With  $A_\mu$  transforming like this, the derivative term becomes invariant

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\psi}i\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu + ieA_\mu)\psi &\rightarrow \bar{\psi}'i\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu + ieA'_\mu)\psi' \\ &= \bar{\psi}e^{i\alpha(x)}i\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu + ieA_\mu + i\partial_\mu\alpha(x))e^{i\alpha(x)}\psi(x) \\ &= \bar{\psi}i\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu + ieA_\mu)\psi(x)\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the extra term from gauge transformation of  $A_\mu$  precisely cancels the extra term when  $\partial_\mu$  acts on  $e^{-i\alpha(x)}\psi(x)$ . This will be important when we discuss QCD. Our Lagrangian  $L_0$  changes now to

$$L = \bar{\psi}i\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu + ieA_\mu)\psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi$$

$A_\mu$  is the gauge field for E.M. interaction. To include dynamics of  $A_\mu$ , we add

$$L_A = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}, \quad F^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu$$

This leads to the Maxwell equations. With  $-\frac{1}{4}$  normalization one gets the equation

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = -J^\mu$$

where  $J^\mu = e\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi$  is the matter current. One can easily check directly that  $F^{\mu\nu}$  is gauge invariant.

**Exercise:** Check

$$[D_\mu D_\nu - D_\nu D_\mu]\psi = ieF_{\mu\nu}\psi$$

(This equation has a nice geometric meaning in terms of curvature.)

Using this and the transformation property of  $D_\nu\psi$  one can show that  $F_{\mu\nu}$  is gauge invariant. We thus get final QED Lagrangian

$$L = \bar{\psi}i\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu + ieA_\mu)\psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$$

Note the following

1. A term like  $m^2 A_\mu A^\mu$  is not gauge invariant, so the photon is massless. This will remain true for all gauge theories, e.g. QCD.
2. The coupling of the photon to the electron is contained in the  $D_\mu \psi$  term. It is called the ‘minimal coupling’.

This will also be used in QCD

3. The QED Lagrangian does not have a gauge field self coupling, *i.e.*, there are no terms like  $AAA$ , or  $AAAA$ . This is because the photon does not carry charge.

This will not be true for QCD. Gluons (which are the analogs of the photon) carry color charges and hence self interact. Let us now write down the Lagrangian for QCD with 2 colors. (Hypothetical case).

### 2.3 Non-Abelian gauge symmetry: Yang-Mills Theory

The symmetry group here will be  $SU(2)$  (it was  $U(1)$  for QED which is Abelian).  $SU(2)$  is a non-Abelian group. Let the fermion fields be a doublet (fundamental representation of  $SU(2)$ ):

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note : each component  $\psi_i$  will be a four component Dirac Spinor. Under an  $SU(2)$  transformation,  $\psi$  will transform as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) \rightarrow \psi'(x) &= \exp \left\{ \frac{-i\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\theta}}{2} \right\} \psi(x) \\ &\equiv U\psi(x) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\vec{\tau} = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)$  are the usual Pauli matrices, satisfying the Lie algebra of  $SU(2)$

$$\left[ \frac{\tau_i}{2}, \frac{\tau_j}{2} \right] = i\epsilon^{ijk} \frac{\tau_k}{2} \quad i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$$

and  $\vec{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$  are the  $SU(2)$  transformation parameters.

We write the Lagrangian

$$L = \bar{\psi}(x) (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi(x)$$

This is again invariant under above global SU(2) transformation with  $\vec{\theta}$  being independent of  $\vec{x}$  and  $t$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\psi &\rightarrow \psi' = U\psi \\ \bar{\psi} &\rightarrow \bar{\psi}' = \bar{\psi} U^\dagger \quad \text{where } U^\dagger U = 1\end{aligned}$$

Now we gauge this symmetry, *i.e.*, make  $\theta_i$  space-time dependent. Then

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \psi'(x) = U(\theta(x))\psi(x)$$

with

$$U(\theta(x)) = \exp\left\{-i\frac{\vec{\tau}}{2}\cdot\vec{\theta}(x)\right\}$$

Again we can easily see that the mass term  $m\bar{\psi}\psi$  in  $L$  is invariant under this symmetry transformation but the derivative term is not. To make the derivative term also invariant we will again construct a covariant derivative  $D_\mu$  by introducing new gauge fields (like  $A_\mu$  was introduced for QED).

Note: The derivative term which spoils gauge invariance has term  $\partial_\mu U(\theta)$ , *i.e.*,

$$\partial_\mu \left\{ \exp\left(-i\frac{\tau^a}{2}\theta^a(x)\right) \right\} \sim \tau^a \partial_\mu \theta^a(x) \exp(\dots)$$

for  $a = 1, 2, 3$ . It is these terms which spoil the invariance of  $L$  when  $\theta^a$  depend on  $\vec{x}$  and  $t$ . Using gauge fields we have to compensate for these derivatives.

Since  $\tau^a$ ,  $a = 1, 2, 3$  are linearly independent, to cancel each derivative, such as  $\tau_1 \partial_\mu \theta^1$ , one will need a gauge field. That is, we will need a term like  $\tau^a A_\mu^a$ ,  $a = 1, 2, 3$  with each gauge field transforming with appropriate  $\theta$  (as we see below). Thus the number of gauge fields to be introduced = number of generators = 3 for SU(2).

Note: When we construct a gauge theory for SU(3), *i.e.* real QCD, then we need number of gauge fields = number of generators of SU(3) = 8. (For SU(N), Number of generators =  $N^2-1$ ). Each gauge field is like an independent photon. These are the gluons (massless gauge bosons). Thus we will need 8 gluons for QCD.

We go back to the case of 2 color QCD with gauge group SU(2). Again, to have the derivative term gauge invariant, we need the following transformation property for the covariant derivative:

$$D_\mu \psi(x) \rightarrow [D_\mu \psi(x)]' = U(\theta) D_\mu \psi(x)$$

when  $\psi(x) \rightarrow \psi'(x) = U(\theta)\psi(x)$

Clearly, then with  $\partial_\mu$  replaced by  $D_\mu$  we get

$$L = \bar{\psi}(x) (i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m) \psi(x)$$

which will be gauge invariant.

We write  $D_\mu\psi(x)$  as

$$D_\mu\psi(x) = \left[ \partial_\mu - ig\frac{\tau^a}{2}A_\mu^a \right] \psi(x)$$

where  $g$  is the coupling constant.

One can check that the requirement of  $[D_\mu\psi(x)]' = U(\theta)D_\mu\psi(x)$  implies the following transformation properly for the gauge fields:

$$\frac{\tau^a}{2}A_\mu^{a'} = U(\theta)\frac{\tau^a}{2}A_\mu^a U(\theta)^{-1} - \frac{i}{g} [\partial_\mu U(\theta)] U^{-1}(\theta)$$

Recall : For QED also, we had

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) \rightarrow \psi'(x) &= e^{-i\alpha(x)}\psi(x) \\ &\equiv U(\alpha)\psi(x) \end{aligned}$$

Transformation of  $A_\mu$  is then

$$\begin{aligned} A'_\mu &= U(\alpha)A_\mu U^{-1}(\alpha) - \frac{i}{e} [\partial_\mu U(\alpha)] U^{-1}(\alpha) \\ &= A_\mu - \frac{i}{e} (-i\partial_\mu\alpha(x)) = A_\mu - \frac{1}{e}\partial_\mu\alpha(x) \end{aligned}$$

which is the familiar transformation for QED (with negative electric charge).

### 2.3.1 Self interaction of gauge fields

One crucial difference between QED and Yang-Mills gauge theories is that for the non-Abelian case gauge fields have self interaction whereas in QED, photons do not have self interaction. Such interactions do not exist for photons but they do exist for gauge fields in Yang-Mills theories, e.g. in QCD. To understand the basic physical reason for this, let us go back to the SU(2) gauge theory case and consider infinitesimal gauge transformation for the vector potentials.

For  $\theta(x) \ll 1$  we write

$$U(\theta) = \exp \left\{ -i\frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \cdot \vec{\theta}(x) \right\} \simeq 1 - i\frac{\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\theta}(x)}{2}$$

**Exercise:** Using this in the transformation law for  $A_\mu^a$ , and neglecting  $\theta^2$  terms show that one gets

$$\frac{\tau^c}{2} A_\mu'^c = \frac{\tau^c}{2} A_\mu^c + \theta^a(x) A_\mu^b \epsilon^{abc} \frac{\tau^c}{2} - \frac{1}{g} \frac{\tau^c}{2} \partial_\mu \theta^c(x).$$

Since  $\tau^a$  are linearly independent, we get

$$A_\mu'^c = A_\mu^c + \epsilon^{abc} \theta^a A_\mu^b - \frac{1}{g} \partial_\mu \theta^c$$

$\epsilon^{abc}$  comes from

$$\left[ \frac{\tau^a}{2}, \frac{\tau^b}{2} \right] = i \epsilon^{abc} \frac{\tau^c}{2}$$

Consider global transformations, so  $\partial_\mu \theta^c = 0$ , we get

$$A_\mu'^c = A_\mu^c + \epsilon^{abc} \theta^a A_\mu^b$$

This shows that  $A_\mu^c$  transforms in the adjoint representation of SU(2). Several important results follow from this expression. Recall Noether's theorem : One can calculate a symmetry current and the associated charge. For example, recall the case of QED.

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &\rightarrow \psi'(x) = e^{-i\alpha(x)} \psi(x) \\ A_\mu &\rightarrow A'_\mu(x) = A_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{e} \partial_\mu \alpha(x) \end{aligned}$$

For global transformation,  $\alpha(x) = \alpha$  we get

$$\psi'(x) = e^{-i\alpha} \psi(x) \quad \& \quad A'_\mu(x) = A_\mu(x)$$

So, under global U(1) (continuous) symmetry transformation,  $\psi(x)$  transforms non-trivially. The associated charge is the "electric charge" of field  $\psi(x)$ . However,  $A_\mu(x)$  transforms trivially under global U(1) transformations. So in QED, the photon does not carry any electric charge (the symmetry current will give zero charge). As the photon does not have electric charge, it does not have self couplings like AAA or AAAA. Now, for the SU(2) case we noted the transformation of  $A_\mu^a$  for constant SU(2) transformation

$$A_\mu'^c = A_\mu^c + \epsilon^{abc} \theta^a A_\mu^b$$

Thus, under global SU(2) transformation,  $A_\mu^a$  transforms non-trivially. Hence there will be a non-zero Noether charge associated with  $A_\mu^c$ . Due to this we expect self coupling. Indeed,



we will see that for every Yang-Mills theory there are self coupling like  $AAA$  and  $AAAA$ .  
 Note : So far we have the Lagrangian for the  $SU(2)$  case

$$L = \bar{\psi}(x) (i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m) \psi(x)$$

We are missing a term analogous to  $F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$  for the QED case. To write such a term we recall the following relation from QED

$$(D_\mu D_\nu - D_\nu D_\mu) \psi(x) = ieF_{\mu\nu}\psi(x)$$

We will use this type of expression for defining the appropriate expression for  $F_{\mu\nu}$  for the  $SU(2)$  case. Since

$$D_\mu \psi = \left( \partial_\mu - ig \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu \right) \psi$$

involving Pauli matrices, we extend the above relation appropriately

$$[D_\mu D_\nu - D_\nu D_\mu] \psi \equiv -ig \left( \frac{\tau^a}{2} F_{\mu\nu}^a \right) \psi$$

Note: This expression here is used to define  $F_{\mu\nu}^a$ .

**Exercise :** Show that the evaluation of the L.H.S. gives

$$F_{\mu\nu}^c = \partial_\mu A_\nu^c - \partial_\nu A_\mu^c + g\epsilon^{cab} A_\mu^a A_\nu^b$$

This is the expression for field strength  $F_{\mu\nu}^c$  for the non-Abelian case.

We can write

$$A_\mu \equiv A_\mu^a \frac{\tau^a}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad F_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{\tau^a}{2} F_{\mu\nu}^a \quad \text{and} \quad F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - ig [A_\mu, A_\nu]$$

**Exercise :** In QED,  $F_{\mu\nu}$  was gauge invariant. Show that, for  $SU(2)$ , we find under gauge transformation

$$\tau^a F_{\mu\nu}^a \rightarrow \tau^a F_{\mu\nu}^{a'} = U(\theta) \tau^b F_{\mu\nu}^b U(\theta)^{-1}.$$

Thus, to construct analog of  $F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$  term here, we write

$$\text{Tr} \left\{ \left( \vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{F}_{\mu\nu} \right) \left( \vec{\tau} \cdot F^{\mu\nu} \right) \right\}$$

This will be gauge invariant due to the cyclic property of the trace.

Note:

$$\text{Tr} \left\{ \tau^a F_{\mu\nu}^a \tau^b F^{b\mu\nu} \right\} = \text{Tr} \tau^a \tau^b F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{b\mu\nu} = 2F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\mu\nu}$$

using  $\text{Tr}[\tau^a \tau^b] = 2\delta^{ab}$ .

Now, we can write down the complete gauge invariant Lagrangian for the SU(2) color gauge theory with the doublet field  $\psi$

$$L = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\mu\nu} + \psi i\gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi$$

### 2.3.2 Generalization to other Lie Groups

One can generalize this construction to any other Lie group. Essentially, one has to replace  $\tau^i$  by appropriate generators and  $\epsilon^{abc}$  by corresponding structure constants. We will first discuss the general case of a simple Lie Group and then write down the Lagrangian for QCD with 3 colors. Suppose G is a simple Lie Group (essentially meaning that it is not a direct product of other groups).

Let  $F^a$  be the generators of the group, satisfying the Lie algebra

$$[F^a, F^b] = i f^{abc} F^c$$

where  $f^{abc}$  are totally antisymmetric structure constants ( $f^{abc}$  are real). For SU(2) we had

$$\left[\frac{\tau^a}{2}, \frac{\tau^b}{2}\right] = i\epsilon^{abc}\frac{\tau^c}{2}$$

Suppose  $\psi$  transforms under some representation of G with representation matrices  $T^a$ , *i.e.*, under a gauge transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) \rightarrow \psi'(x) &= \exp\left\{-i\vec{T}\cdot\vec{\theta}(x)\right\}\psi(x) \\ &\equiv U(\theta)\psi(x) \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$[T^a, T^b] = i f^{abc} T^c$$

Recall: For SU(2) case,  $\vec{T}$  were  $\frac{\vec{\tau}}{2}$  and  $f^{abc}$  was  $\epsilon^{abc}$ . The covariant derivative then is

$$D_\mu \psi = (\partial_\mu - igT^a A_\mu^a)\psi$$

The field strength tensor is

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + g f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c$$

The gauge transformation for  $A_\mu^a$  is

$$\vec{T} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu(x) \rightarrow T \cdot A'_\mu(x) = U(\theta) T \cdot A_\mu U^{-1}(\theta) - \frac{i}{g} [\partial_\mu U(\theta)] U^{-1}(\theta)$$

Again, all these are exactly same as SU(2) case with replacement

$$\vec{T} \rightarrow \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad f^{abc} \rightarrow \epsilon^{abc}$$

Also the number of  $A_\mu^a$  is equal to the number of generators  $T^a$ . We can write the complete Lagrangian as

$$L = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\mu\nu} + \bar{\psi} (i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m) \psi$$

### 2.3.3 Self interaction

Note :  $F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\mu\nu}$  term has following types of terms

$$g \partial_\nu A_\mu^a f^{abc} A^{\mu b} A^{\nu c}$$

and

$$g^2 f^{abc} f^{alm} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A^{\mu l} A^{\nu m}$$

Corresponding Feynman diagrams have three point and four point vertices. Thus, every gauge theory with a non-Abelian gauge group has self coupling for the gauge fields. This was expected since we saw that gauge bosons here carry charges. In contrast, in QED (Abelian Group U(1)) photons have no self interaction.

It is straightforward now to write the Lagrangian for QCD. We have six types of quarks (flavors  $u, d, s$ , etc). The gauge group is SU(3) color. Each quark comes in 3 colors. That is, quarks are taken to transform as the 3-dimensional fundamental representation of the SU(3) color group. SU(3) has 8 generators, so we need 8 gauge fields  $A_\mu^a$ ,  $a = 1, \dots, 8$ . These are 8 gluons.

We can write down the Lagrangian:

$$L_{QCD} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\mu\nu} + \sum_\alpha \bar{\psi}_\alpha (i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m_\alpha) \psi_\alpha$$

where  $\alpha = u, d, c, s, t, b$  is the flavor index for quarks.

As  $\psi_\alpha$  is taken to be in the 3-dimensional fundamental representation of  $SU(3)_c$ ,

$$\psi_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} r \\ b \\ g \end{pmatrix}_\alpha \begin{pmatrix} \text{red} \\ \text{blue} \\ \text{green} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{for example})$$

Thus, we take the following representation for the generators of  $SU(3)$ :

$\lambda^a$  are Gell-mann matrices:

$$T^a = \frac{\lambda^a}{2}, a = 1, 2 \dots 8$$

$$[T^a, T^b] = i f^{abc} T^c$$

is the Lie algebra of  $SU(3)$  with structure constants  $f^{abc}$  as:

$$f^{123} = 1, f^{458} = f^{678} = \sqrt{3}/2$$

the remaining independent  $f^{abc}$  are 1/2.

Gell-mann matrices  $\lambda^a$  are given by

$$\lambda_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda_7 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_8 = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2/\sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

With  $T^a = \frac{\lambda^a}{2}$ , the covariant derivative is

$$D_\mu \psi_\alpha = (\partial_\mu - i g_s T^a A_\mu^a) \psi_\alpha$$

$g_s$  is the strong interaction coupling constant. The expression for  $F_{\mu\nu}^a$  etc. are the same as given for general case of group  $G$  with  $T^a = \frac{\lambda^a}{2}$ . We thus conclude that gluons carry color charges and hence they have self interaction.

## 2.4 Symmetries of QCD

Apart from the gauge SU(3) symmetry of QCD which is exact, QCD possesses approximate global symmetries

### 2.4.1 Isospin Symmetry

This played a crucial role in the early stages of development of QCD in terms of hadron spectroscopy.

Suppose, if  $m_\alpha \simeq m$  for certain  $\alpha$ , say  $\alpha = u, d, s$ , then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ s \end{pmatrix} & \bar{\psi} &= (\bar{u} \bar{d} \bar{s}) \\ \Rightarrow L &= \bar{\psi} (i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m) \psi + \sum_{\beta=c,t,b} \bar{\psi}_\beta (i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m_\beta) \psi_\beta & \beta &= c, t, b \end{aligned}$$

This is invariant under SU(3) global symmetry transformation acting on

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ s \end{pmatrix}$$

This is known as the isospin flavor symmetry and originally it led to the discovery of the quark model.

### 2.4.2 Chiral symmetry

This is a very important symmetry of QCD which arises if  $m_\alpha \simeq 0$  for certain  $\alpha$ , leading to decoupled left handed and right handed components of quarks.

## 2.5 Feynman rules for QCD

Essentially, the only difference from the case of QED is that for QCD we have color factors (color states  $C$  &  $C^\dagger$ ) and  $\lambda$  matrices. Also, for QCD we have 3-gluon and 4-gluon vertices which are not there for QED. For example,

1. **The gluon propagator** is (in Lorentz gauge)

$$-i \frac{g^{\mu\nu}}{q^2} \delta^{\alpha\beta}$$

where  $\alpha, \beta$  are color indices for gluons ( $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \dots, 8$ ).

Recall: The propagator in QED for photon is

$$-i \frac{g^{\mu\nu}}{q^2}$$

Note: One may expect 9 gluon states :  $3 \otimes \bar{3}$ ,  $r\bar{r}$ ,  $r\bar{b}$ ,  $r\bar{g}$ , etc. However  $3 \otimes \bar{3} = 1 + 8$ , where 1 is color singlet. The gluon cannot be a color singlet otherwise it does not interact via the color interaction. Hence there are only 8 (octet) of gluons. Color states  $C$  for quarks are given by a 3 vector

$$C : \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \sim \text{red}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \sim \text{blue}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \sim \text{green}$$

Similarly, we have an eight element column vector for gluons

$$\alpha : \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } |1\rangle, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \cdot \\ ; \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } |7\rangle, \text{ etc.}$$

2. **Quark propagator:**

$$i \frac{(\gamma^\mu q_\mu + m)}{q^2 - m^2} \delta_{ab}$$

which apart from  $\delta_{ab}$  is the same as in QED for electrons.

3. **Quark-gluon vertex:**

Note: The quark-gluon interaction term in the QCD Lagrangian is

$$L_{int} = \bar{\psi} g_s \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \gamma_\mu A^{a\mu} \psi$$

( $a$  is the color index). Thus the quark-gluon vertex is given by

$$-igs\frac{\lambda^a}{2}\gamma^\mu$$

In QED, the electron-photon vertex is  $ig_e\gamma^\mu$ .

4. **Three gluon vertex:** The relevant term in  $L$  is

$$-g_s(\partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a) f^{abc} A^{b\mu} A^{c\nu}$$

The vertex is

$$-g_s f^{abc} [g_{\mu\nu}(k_1 - k_2)_\lambda + g_{\nu\lambda}(k_2 - k_3)_\mu + g_{\lambda\mu}(k_3 - k_1)_\nu]$$

where  $k_i$  are the relevant momenta.

5. **Four gluon vertex:**

The interaction term in  $L$  is

$$g_s^2 f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c f^{ade} A^{d\mu} A^{e\nu}$$

So, the vertex is

$$-ig_s^2 [f^{\alpha\beta\eta} f^{\gamma\delta\eta} (g_{\mu\lambda} g_{\nu\rho} - g_{\mu\rho} g_{\nu\lambda}) + f^{\alpha\delta\eta} f^{\beta\gamma\eta} (g_{\mu\nu} g_{\lambda\rho} - g_{\mu\lambda} g_{\nu\rho}) + f^{\alpha\gamma\eta} f^{\delta\beta\eta} (g_{\mu\rho} g_{\nu\lambda} - g_{\mu\nu} g_{\lambda\rho})]$$

In these vertices, various indices are according to the indices associated with the lines meeting at the vertex.

6. **External lines: quarks and anti-quarks**

External quark with momentum  $p$ , spin  $s$ , and color  $C$ :

Incoming quark:  $u^{(s)}(p)C$  while for QED we have  $u^{(s)}(p)$ .

Outgoing quark:  $\bar{u}^{(s)}(p)C^\dagger$  while for QED we have  $\bar{u}^{(s)}(p)$ .

For an external antiquark:

Incoming antiquark:  $\bar{v}^{(s)}(p)C^\dagger$  while for QED we have  $\bar{v}^s$ .

Outgoing antiquark:  $v^{(s)}(p)C$  while for QED we have  $v^s$ .

Here  $C$  represents the color of the corresponding quark.

7. **For an external gluon of momentum  $p$ , polarization  $\epsilon$ , color  $a$ :**

Incoming gluon:  $\epsilon_\mu(p) a^\alpha$  while for QED(photon) we have  $\epsilon_\mu$ .

Outgoing gluon:  $\epsilon_\mu^*(p) a^{\alpha\dagger}$  while for QED(photon) we have  $\epsilon_\mu^*$ .

# 3 Running coupling constant in QCD

## 3.1 Physical Picture

Let us recall, how a ‘screened’ charge appears in an ordinary dielectric medium, like water. Test charge  $+q$  in a polarisable dielectric medium is screened from outside. There will be an induced dipole moment  $\vec{P}$  per unit volume, and the effect of  $\vec{P}$  on the resultant field is the same as that produced by a volume charge density equal to  $-\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{P}$ .

For linear medium,  $\vec{P}$  is proportional to  $\vec{E}$  so,  $\vec{P} = \chi \epsilon_o \vec{E}$ . Gauss’s law is then modified from:

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = \rho_{free} / \epsilon_o$$

to

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = \frac{\rho_{free} - \nabla \cdot \vec{P}}{\epsilon_o}$$

Taking  $\chi$  to be approximately constant, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} &= \frac{\rho_{free}}{\epsilon_o} - \chi \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} \\ \Rightarrow \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} &= \frac{\rho_{free}}{\epsilon} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\epsilon = (1 + \chi)\epsilon_o$  is the dielectric constant of the medium, ( $\epsilon_o$  being that of vacuum). Thus, the electric field is effectively reduced by the factor  $(1 + \chi)^{-1}$ .

However, this is macroscopic treatment, the molecules being replaced by a continuous distribution of charge density  $-\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{P}$ . For very small distances ( $\sim$  molecular distances), the screening effect will be reduced.

Thus, we expect that  $\epsilon$  should be a function of  $r$ , distance from the test charge. In general, the electrostatic potential between two test charges  $q_1$ , and  $q_2$  in a dielectric can be represented phenomenologically by

$$V(r) = \frac{q_1 q_2}{4\pi \epsilon(r) r}$$

where  $\epsilon(r)$  varies with  $r$ . We can define an effective charge

$$q' = \frac{q}{\sqrt{\epsilon(r)}}$$

for each test charge.



### 3.1.1 Effective charge in QED

In quantum field theory, the polarisable medium is replaced by the vacuum. We know about vacuum polarization arising from vacuum fluctuations which are always there. Produced  $e^+e^-$  align in the presence of a test charge. Thus, near a test charge, in vacuum, charged pairs are created. They exist for  $\Delta t \sim \hbar/mc^2$ . They can spread to a distance of about  $c\Delta t$  (*i.e.* the Compton wavelength  $\lambda_c$ ). This distance gives a measure of the molecular diameter (for dielectric medium). Virtual  $e^+e^-$  pairs are effectively dipoles of length  $\lambda_c \sim \frac{1}{m}$ .

Again, due to screening effects of these vacuum fluctuations, effective charge will depend on the distance.

### 3.1.2 Meaning of the familiar symbol $e$

This is simply the effective charge as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , or in practice, the charge relevant for distances much larger than the particle's Compton wavelength. For example, it is this large distance value which is measured in Thomson scattering. Distance (or momentum) dependent coupling constant is called the 'Running coupling constant'. It arises due to renormalization which we discuss in the next section.

## 3.2 $\beta$ function in QFT

We will see that due to renormalization in QFT, one gets a running coupling constant  $g(t)$  where  $t$  is the momentum (distance<sup>-1</sup>) scale. The behavior of  $g(t)$  as a function of  $t$  is determined by the  $\beta$  function

$$t \frac{dg(t)}{dt} = \beta(g).$$

Once we know the  $\beta$  function of a theory, we can immediately get the running coupling constant of the theory.

How does one calculate  $\beta(g)$ ? Let us sketch the important steps for a scalar theory. We will then discuss results for QED and QCD.

### 3.2.1 Divergences and Renormalization in QFT

Note: Renormalized  $g$  arises due to vacuum fluctuations. These also lead to divergences. Hence the two are intimately connected.

First take the case of scalar field theory with a  $\phi^4$  interaction.

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{m^2}{2} \phi^2 - \frac{g}{4!} \phi^4$$

The propagator and vertex of this theory are given by

$$\frac{i}{p^2 - m^2} \quad \text{and} \quad -ig$$

Divergences arise from loop integrals. For example : Self energy contribution at one loop level to the free particle propagator

$$g \int \frac{d^4 q}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{q^2 - m^2}$$

This is ultraviolet divergent as there are 4 powers of  $q$  in the numerator and 2 in the denominator.

Similarly, consider the 1 - loop contribution to the 4 - point vertex function.

$$g^2 \int \frac{d^4 q}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{(q^2 - m^2) ([p_1 + p_2 - q]^2 - m^2)}$$

Here there are 4 powers of  $q$  in both numerator and denominator, so we have a logarithmic divergence.

### 3.2.2 1PI Diagrams

For studying renormalization we focus on the one-particle- irreducible (1PI) diagrams. These are the connected Feynman diagrams, which cannot be disconnected by cutting any one internal line. Correspondingly, we define the 1PI Green's function  $\Gamma^{(n)}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$  which have contributions from 1PI diagrams only.

The reason for selecting 1PI diagrams is that every other diagram can be decomposed into 1PI diagrams without further loop integration. So, if we know how to take care of the divergences of 1PI diagrams, we can then handle other diagrams also.

### 3.3 Regularization

One needs to isolate the divergences in these divergent integrals. Eventually, these divergences are absorbed by re-defining various parameters of the theory, *i.e.* by **Renormalization**. There are various techniques for regularizing a divergent Feynman diagram.

#### 3.3.1 Pauli-Villars regularization

Here the propagator is modified by using

$$\frac{1}{p^2 - m^2} - \frac{1}{p^2 - M^2} = \frac{m^2 - M^2}{(p^2 - m^2)(p^2 - M^2)}$$

As now the propagator behaves as  $\frac{1}{p^4}$ , integrals usually converge. When we take  $M^2 \rightarrow \infty$ , the original theory is restored.

#### 3.3.2 Cut-off regularization

One can use a cut off  $\Lambda$  in the momentum integral. Eventually  $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$  limit is taken.

All these methods become problematic when non-Abelian gauge theories are considered.

#### 3.3.3 Dimensional Regularization

This is the most versatile regularization technique. Here the action is generalized to arbitrary dimensions  $d$  where there are regions in complex  $d$  space in which the Feynman integrals are all finite. Then as we analytically continue  $d$  to 4, the Feynman graphs pick up

poles in  $d$  space, allowing us to absorb the divergences of the theory into physical parameters.

### 3.4 Scalar Theory

Let us consider dimensional regularization for the scalar theory.

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{m^2}{2} \phi^2 - \frac{g}{4!} \phi^4$$

We first generalize this theory to arbitrary  $d$  dimensions. As  $S = \int L d^d x$  is dimensionless ( $S$  should have units of  $\hbar = 1$ ), we have, from the first term in  $L$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{L^2} L^d [\phi]^2 &= 1 \\ \Rightarrow [\phi] &= L^{\frac{2-d}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $L$  denotes the length dimension (same as  $\text{mass}^{-1}$  dimension in natural units). So the mass dimension of  $\phi = \frac{d}{2} - 1$ .

The  $g\phi^4$  term has mass dimension  $[g] M^{2d-4}$ . This needs to be  $[M]^d$ .

To keep  $g$  dimensionless, we need to introduce a factor  $\mu^{4-d}$  to cancel  $(2d-4-d)$  in  $g\phi^4$ .

Thus we get

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{m^2}{2} \phi^2 - \frac{\mu^{4-d} g}{4!} \phi^4$$

**Note the presence of the arbitrary mass scale  $\mu$ .**

With this  $L$  we can calculate the divergent 1-loop diagrams. The self energy is

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{2} g \mu^{4-d} \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{p^2 - m^2}$$

These integrals can be calculated using the gamma function.

$$\Sigma = \frac{-ig}{32\pi^2} m^2 \left( \frac{4\pi\mu^2}{m^2} \right)^{2-d/2} \Gamma(1-d/2)$$

The gamma function  $\Gamma$  has poles at zero and negative integers, so, we see that the divergence of the integral manifests itself as a simple pole as  $d \rightarrow 4$ . Using  $\epsilon = 4 - d$

$$\Gamma(1 - d/2) = \Gamma\left(-1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) = \frac{-2}{\epsilon} - 1 + \gamma + O(\epsilon)$$

where  $\gamma = 0.577$  is the Euler-Mascheroni constant.

Thus expanding the above expression about  $d=4$  using  $a^\epsilon = 1 + \epsilon \ln a + \dots$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sum}{i} &= \frac{igm^2}{16\pi^2\epsilon} + \frac{igm^2}{32\pi^2} \left[ 1 - \gamma + \ln\frac{(4\pi\mu^2)}{m^2} \right] + O(\epsilon) \\ &= \frac{igm^2}{16\pi^2\epsilon} + \text{finite} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the 4-point function to order  $g^2$  is

$$\frac{1}{2}g^2(\mu^2)^{4-d} \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{(p^2 - m^2)} \frac{1}{[(p - q)^2 - m^2]}$$

Again using the  $\Gamma$  function one can get it as

$$\frac{ig^2\mu^\epsilon}{16\pi^2\epsilon} - \text{finite part}$$

We can now obtain the vertex functions (with amputated legs).

**2-point function:**

$$\Gamma^{(2)}(p) = p^2 - m^2 - \sum(p^2)$$

(apart from inverse of bare propagator it contains only 1PI graphs)

$$= p^2 - m^2 \left( 1 - \frac{g}{16\pi^2\epsilon} \right) \text{ neglecting finite term}$$

**4-point function:**

$$\Gamma^{(4)}(p_i) = -ig\mu^\epsilon \left( 1 - \frac{3g}{16\pi^2\epsilon} \right) + \text{finite} \equiv -ig_R$$

### 3.4.1 Renormalization

Consider now the vertex function  $\Gamma^{(2)}$  and  $\Gamma^{(4)}$  to one loop approximation

$$\Gamma^{(2)}(p) = p^2 - m^2 - \sum \sum = \frac{-gm^2}{16\pi^2\epsilon}$$

(ignoring finite parts), where  $\epsilon = 4 - d$ . We write it as

$$\Gamma^{(2)}(p) = p^2 - m_1^2$$

where

$$m_1^2 = m^2 \left( 1 - \frac{g}{16\pi^2\epsilon} \right) = \frac{m^2}{(1 + g/16\pi^2\epsilon)}$$

$m_1$  is taken to be finite, representing the physical mass. This is called the **Renormalized mass**.  $\sum$  is divergent (with  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ ) so  $m$  (bare mass) is taken to be appropriately divergent so that  $m_1$  is **finite**.

The renormalized mass  $m_1$  is given by,

$$m_1^2 = -\Gamma^{(2)}(0)$$

Note: This is the renormalization condition where physical mass is defined at  $p = 0$ . It could very well have been defined at some other value of  $p$ .

Similarly, consider  $\Gamma^{(4)}$

$$i\Gamma^{(4)}(p_i) = g\mu^\epsilon - \frac{g^2\mu^\epsilon}{16\pi^2} \left[ \frac{3}{\epsilon} + \text{finite } \tilde{\Gamma}(p_i) \right]$$

Define a new parameter  $g_1$ , the renormalized coupling constant, by

$$g_1 = g\mu^\epsilon - \frac{g^2\mu^\epsilon}{16\pi^2} \left[ \frac{3}{\epsilon} + \tilde{\Gamma}(0) \right]$$

Again, note here that  $g_1$  is being defined at point  $p_i=0$ . An alternative is to define it at the symmetrical point,  $p_i^2 = m^2$ , so  $s, t, u = 4m^2/3$ .

These are the results upto 1-loop level. It turns out that when 2-loop diagrams are calculated then using renormalization of the  $m$  and  $g$  parameters,  $\Gamma^{(4)}$  is finite, but  $\Gamma^{(2)}$  remains divergent. This is due to overlapping divergence at 2-loop level. So, coupling constant and mass renormalization do not remove this additional divergence at 2-loop level. It is removed by absorption in a multiplication factor and we define a renormalized 2-point function

$$\Gamma_r^{(2)} = Z_\phi(g_1, m_1, \mu)\Gamma^{(2)}(p, m_1, \mu)$$

$\Gamma_r^{(2)}$  is now finite with  $Z_\phi$  infinite.  $\sqrt{Z_\phi}$  is called the wave function (or field) renormalization constant.

Field renormalization is  $\phi = Z_\phi^{-1/2}\phi_0$ , where  $\phi_0$  is the unrenormalized field.

So, the 2-point function is

$$\langle 0|T(\phi(x_1)\phi(x_2))|0\rangle = Z_\phi^{-1} \langle 0|T(\phi_0(x_1)\phi_0(x_2))|0\rangle$$

where the 2-point functions on the L.H.S. and the R.H.S. are  $G_R^{(2)}(x_1, x_2)$  and  $G_0^{(2)}(x_1, x_2)$  respectively.

Thus, in general, the renormalized field  $\phi$  defines the renormalized Green's functions  $G_R^{(n)}$  which are related to the unrenormalized ones by

$$\begin{aligned} G_R^{(n)}(x_1 \dots x_n) &= \langle 0|T(\phi(x_1) \dots \phi(x_n))|0\rangle \\ &= Z_\phi^{-n/2} \langle 0|T(\phi_0(x_1) \dots \phi_0(x_n))|0\rangle \\ &= Z_\phi^{-n/2} G_0^{(n)}(x_1 \dots x_n) \end{aligned}$$

In momentum space, we get

$$G_R^{(n)}(p_1 \dots p_n) = Z_\phi^{-n/2} G_0^{(n)}(p_1 \dots p_n)$$

Now, to go from the connected Green's functions given above to the 1PI (amputated) Green's function, we have to eliminate the one-particle reducible diagrams. **But more importantly for us, we have to remove the propagators for the external lines in the 1PI Green's functions (to get amputated Green's function).**

Thus, we need to remove  $\Delta_R(p_i)$  from  $G_R^{(n)}(p_1 \dots p_n)$  and  $\Delta(p_i)$  from  $G_0^{(n)}(p_i)$

Now

$$\Delta_R(p_i) = Z_\phi^{-1} \Delta(p_i)$$

where the propagators on the L.H.S. and R.H.S. are  $G_R^{(2)}$  and  $G_0^{(2)}$  respectively.

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_R^{(n)}(p_i) &= [\Delta_R(p_i)]^{-n} G_R^{(n)}(p_i) \\ &= Z_\phi^n (\Delta(p_i))^{-n} Z_\phi^{-n/2} G_0^{(n)}(p_i) \\ \text{or } \Gamma_R^{(n)}(p_i) &= Z_\phi^{n/2} [\Delta(p_i)]^{-n} G_0^{(n)}(p_i) \\ \text{so } \Gamma_R^{(n)}(p_i) &= Z_\phi^{n/2} \Gamma_0^{(n)}(p_i) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, finally using renormalized quantities, etc., we can write

$$\Gamma_R^{(n)}(p_1, \dots, p_n; g_R, m_R, \mu) = Z_\phi^{n/2} \Gamma_0^{(n)}(p_1 \dots p_n, g_0, m_0)$$

Note:  $\Gamma_0^{(n)}(p_i, g_0, m_0)$  will be divergent. Some divergence will be removed by using renormalized  $m_R$  and  $g_R$ , remaining divergence will be removed by multiplying by  $Z_\phi^{n/2}$ .

### 3.5 Renormalization group

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_R^{(n)}(p_i, g_R, m_R, \mu) &= Z_\phi^{n/2} \Gamma_0^{(n)}(p_i, g_0, m_0) \\ \text{or} \\ \Gamma_0^{(n)}(p_i, g_0, m_0) &= Z_\phi^{-n/2} \Gamma_R^{(n)}(p_i, g_R, m_R, \mu) \end{aligned}$$

Now the unrenormalized vertex function  $\Gamma_0^{(n)}$  should be independent of  $\mu$ , so

$$\mu \frac{d}{d\mu} \Gamma_0^{(n)} = 0$$

(Note  $\Gamma_0$  is divergent, here it is used with proper regularization, e.g, dimensional regularization with  $\epsilon \neq 0$ .  $\Gamma_0$  diverges in  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  limit).



We get

$$\mu \frac{d}{d\mu} \left[ Z_\phi^{-n/2} \Gamma_R^{(n)}(p_i, g_R, m_R, \mu) \right] = 0$$

where  $g_R$  and  $m_R$  depend on  $\mu$ ,

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{n}{2} Z_\phi^{(-n/2-1)} \mu \frac{\partial Z_\phi}{\partial \mu} \Gamma_R^{(n)} + Z_\phi^{(-n/2)} \left[ \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \mu \frac{\partial g_R}{\partial \mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial g_R} + \mu \frac{\partial m_R}{\partial \mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial m_R} \right] \Gamma_R^{(n)} = 0$$

Above  $\times Z_\phi^{n/2} \Rightarrow$

$$\left[ -n\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \ln \sqrt{Z_\phi} + \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \dots \right] \Gamma_R^{(n)} = 0$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \ln \sqrt{Z_\phi} &= \gamma(g) \\ \beta(g) &= \mu \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mu} \\ m\gamma_m(g) &= \mu \frac{\partial m}{\partial \mu} \end{aligned}$$

We thus get the **renormalization group (RG) equation**:

$$\left[ \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \beta(g) \frac{\partial}{\partial g} - n\gamma(g) + m\gamma_m(g) \frac{\partial}{\partial m} \right] \Gamma^{(n)} = 0$$

$\beta(g)$  is called the  $\beta$  function of the theory.

Renormalization group equation expresses how the renormalized vertex functions change when we change the arbitrary scale  $\mu$ .

We are interested in knowing the behavior of coupling constants etc. under the change of momentum scale, because we want to understand the behavior of the theory at high energies.

We therefore make the following scale transformations and desire a slightly different constraint on the vertex function.

Consider  $p_i \rightarrow tp_i$  rescaling of all momenta by  $t$ .

Then

$$\Gamma^{(n)}(tp_i, g, m, \mu) = t^D \Gamma^{(n)}(p_i, g, t^{-1}m, t^{-1}\mu),$$

where  $D$  is the mass dimension of the vertex function  $\Gamma^{(n)}$ , or

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^{(n)}(tp_i, g, m, \mu) &= \mu^D f\left(g, \frac{t^2 p_i^2}{m\mu}\right) \\ &\equiv \mu^D f(g, \alpha)\end{aligned}$$

This is because  $\Gamma$  is Lorentz invariant, and hence can only be a function of various dot products  $p_i \cdot p_j$ . To create a dimensionless quantity, we divide by  $\mu m$ . The overall scaling quantity  $\mu^D$  means that the function has mass dimension  $D$ . Let us calculate

$$\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \Gamma^{(n)}(tp_i, g, m, \mu) = \mu D \mu^{D-1} f + \mu^{D+1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha} \left( \frac{-t^2 p_i^2}{m\mu^2} \right)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}t \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial t} &= t \mu^D \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha} \left( \frac{2tp^2}{m\mu} \right) \\ m \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial m} &= m \mu^D \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha} \left( \frac{-t^2 p^2}{m^2 \mu} \right)\end{aligned}$$

Summing all these terms, we get

$$\left[ t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + m \frac{\partial}{\partial m} + \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} - D \right] \Gamma^{(n)} = \mu^D \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha} \left[ -\frac{t^2 p^2}{m\mu} + \frac{2t^2 p^2}{m\mu} - \frac{t^2 p^2}{m\mu} \right] = 0$$

We now have two different equations for  $\Gamma^{(n)}$ . Note that for the RG equation also, we can consider  $\Gamma^{(n)}(tp, g, m, \mu)$ . We can eliminate  $\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}$  term from the above equation and the RG equation. We get

$$\left[ \beta(g) \frac{\partial}{\partial g} - n\gamma(g) + m\gamma_m(g) \frac{\partial}{\partial m} - t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - m \frac{\partial}{\partial m} + D \right] \Gamma^{(n)} = 0$$

or

$$\left[ \beta(g) \frac{\partial}{\partial g} - t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - n\gamma(g) + m(\gamma_m(g) - 1) \frac{\partial}{\partial m} + D \right] \Gamma^{(n)}(tp, g, m, \mu) = 0$$

This equation directly gives the effect of scaling up the momenta by a factor  $t$ .

**Important :** This equation expresses the fact that a change in  $t$  (*i.e.* momentum scale) may be compensated by a change in  $m$  and  $g$  and an overall factor.

Thus, we expect that there should be functions  $g(t)$ ,  $m(t)$  and  $f(t)$  such that

$$\Gamma^{(n)}(tp, m, g, \mu) = f(t) \Gamma^{(n)}(p, m(t), g(t), \mu).$$

Differentiating this w.r.t.  $t$  we get ( $m$  &  $g$  also depend on scale  $t$ )

$$t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Gamma^{(n)}(tp, m, g, \mu) = t \frac{df(t)}{dt} \Gamma^{(n)}(p, m(t), g(t), \mu) + t f(t) \left[ \frac{\partial m}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial m} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial g} \right] \Gamma^{(n)}(p, m(t), g(t), \mu)$$

Then using

$$\Gamma^{(n)}(tp, m, g, \mu) = f(t) \Gamma^{(n)}(p, m(t), g(t), \mu)$$

we get

$$\left[ -t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{t}{f(t)} \frac{df}{dt} + t \frac{\partial m}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial m} + t \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial g} \right] \Gamma^{(n)}(tp, m, g, \mu) = 0$$

Comparison of this equation with the previous equation gives

$$t \frac{\partial g(t)}{\partial t} = \beta(g)$$

We also get

$$t \frac{\partial m}{\partial t} = m [\gamma_m(g) - 1]$$

This gives change of mass

$$\frac{t}{f} \frac{df}{dt} = D - n\gamma(g)$$

The solution of this equation is

$$f(t) = t^D \exp \left[ - \int_0^t \frac{n\gamma(g(t)) dt}{t} \right]$$

Recall :  $\Gamma^{(n)}(tp, m, g, \mu) = f(t) \Gamma^{(n)}(p, m(t), g(t), \mu)$ . Here  $t^D$  gives the canonical mass dimension of the vertex function  $\Gamma^{(n)}$ . The exponential term gives the ‘Anomalous Dimension’ for the vertex function arising entirely due to renormalization effects.

### 3.6 $\beta$ function

We have

$$t \frac{\partial g(t)}{\partial t} = \beta(g)$$

where  $g(t)$  is called the ‘running coupling constant’. Knowledge of the function  $\beta(g)$  enables us to find  $g(t)$ , and of particular interest is the asymptotic limit of  $g(t)$ , as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

We now consider the possible behavior of  $g(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , *i.e.* at large momentum (and assuming that the above equation is still valid there).

1. Suppose  $\beta(g)$  has the following form. It is zero at  $g = 0$ . Then, as  $g$  increases, it increases first and then starts decreasing, crossing the  $g$  axis at  $g_0$  becoming negative after that. The zeros of  $\beta$ , at  $g = 0$  and  $g = g_0$  are called ‘fixed points’ (as  $g$  does not evolve there).

$g$  near  $g_0$  : If  $g < g_0$ ,  $\beta > 0$ . So  $g$  increases with increasing  $t$  and is driven towards  $g_0$ . Similarly, if  $g > g_0$ , then  $\beta < 0$  and  $\frac{dg}{dt} < 0 \Rightarrow g$  decreases towards  $g_0$  with increasing  $t$ .

Thus,  $g_0$  is an ultra-violet (large  $t$ ) stable fixed point  $g(\infty) = g_0$ . Note that  $g_0$  is an infrared unstable fixed point. Because for  $g < g_0$ ,  $\beta > 0$  so  $g$  decreases away from  $g_0$  with decreasing  $t$ . Similarly, for  $g > g_0$ ,  $\beta < 0$ , so  $t$  decreasing takes  $g$  away from  $g_0$ . By same arguments,  $g = 0$  is an infrared stable fixed point.

2. Now consider other possibility: Suppose,  $\beta(g)$  is zero at  $g = 0$ . Then, as  $g$  increases, it decreases first and then starts increasing, crossing the  $g$  axis at  $g_0$  becoming positive after that.

Here  $g_0$  is an infrared stable fixed point while  $g = 0$  is an ultraviolet fixed point. This is because if  $g > 0$  near  $g = 0$  then  $\beta < 0 \Rightarrow$  when  $t$  increases then  $g(t)$  decreases towards 0. So,  $g(t \rightarrow \infty) \rightarrow 0$ . This is called **Asymptotic freedom**. For theories with  $g = 0$  an ultraviolet fixed point, the perturbation theory gets better and better at higher energies and in the infinite momentum limit, the coupling constant vanishes.

We will see that QCD is an asymptotically free theory, with a negative  $\beta$  function.

### 3.7 $\beta$ function for a scalar $\phi^4$ theory

Recall the definition of the  $\beta$  function:

$$\beta(g) = \mu \frac{\partial g_R}{\partial \mu}$$

At 1-loop level, we recall that the renormalized coupling

$$g_1 = g\mu^\epsilon - \frac{g^2\mu^\epsilon}{16\pi^2} \left[ \frac{3}{\epsilon} + \text{finite term} \right]$$

with  $g_{bare} \equiv g_B = g\mu^\epsilon$ , we have

$$g_1 = g_B - \frac{g_B^2\mu^{-\epsilon}}{16\pi^2} \left[ \frac{3}{\epsilon} + \text{finite term} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence, } \mu \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial \mu} &= \epsilon \frac{g_B^2\mu^{-\epsilon}}{16\pi^2} \left[ \frac{3}{\epsilon} + \text{finite term} \right] \\ &\simeq \frac{3}{16\pi^2} g_1^2 \end{aligned}$$

with  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  limit as the difference is of order  $g^3$ , *i.e.* at the 2 loop level.

So, keeping terms only upto 1-loop level (*i.e.*  $g^2$ ) one gets the  $\beta$  function by taking  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  limit

$$\beta(g_1) \equiv \mu \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial \mu} = \frac{3g_1^2}{16\pi^2} > 0$$

From the above discussions about the fixed points we see that  $g = 0$  is infrared stable fixed point and that  $\phi^4$  theory is not asymptotically free. Recall:

$$t \frac{\partial g(t)}{\partial t} = \beta(g(t)) = \frac{3g(t)^2}{16\pi^2}$$

We can solve this equation as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dg(t)}{g^2} &= \frac{3}{16\pi^2} \frac{dt}{t} \\ \Rightarrow g &= \frac{g_0}{1 - \frac{3g_0}{16\pi^2} \ln t/t_0} \end{aligned}$$

This gives us the running coupling constant. As  $t$  increases,  $g$  increases.

### 3.8 Running coupling constant in QED

Start with the Lagrangian in  $d$  dimensions:

$$L = i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi - e\mu^{2-d/2}A^\mu\bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu\psi - \frac{1}{4}(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu A^\mu)^2$$

where the last term on the r.h.s. is the gauge fixing term. With this, one gets the Maxwell equation as  $\partial_\nu\partial^\nu A_\mu = 0$  (*i.e.* in Lorentz gauge  $\partial^\mu A_\mu = 0$ ).

The vertex graph at one loop level leads to the renormalized coupling constant  $e$ , related to the bare coupling  $e_B$  as,

$$e_B = \left(1 + \frac{1}{12} \frac{e^2}{\pi^2 \epsilon}\right) e \mu^{\epsilon/2}$$

Using  $\frac{\partial e_B}{\partial \mu} = 0$  we can show that  $\beta(e) = \mu \frac{\partial e}{\partial \mu} = \frac{e^3}{12\pi^2}$ .

So in QED also,  $\beta$  function is positive and there is no asymptotic freedom. Using

$$t \frac{\partial e(t)}{\partial t} = \beta(e) = \frac{e^3}{12\pi^2}$$

We get

$$\frac{de}{e^3} = \frac{dt}{12\pi^2 t} \Rightarrow e^2(t) = \frac{e^2(t_0)}{1 - \frac{e^2(t_0)}{6\pi^2} \ln t/t_0}$$

Defining

$$\alpha = e^2/4\pi; \quad \alpha(t) = \frac{\alpha(t_0)}{1 - \frac{4\alpha(t_0)}{6\pi} \ln(t/t_0)}$$

Note: The Landau Singularity at

$$t \simeq t_0 \exp(6\pi^2/e^2(t_0)) \simeq t_0 \exp\left(\frac{6\pi}{4\pi\alpha(t_0)}\right)$$

So, if  $t_0 \sim 1$  MeV then  $t \sim 10^{80}$  MeV.

(Note that for energies higher than 100 GeV one should use Electroweak theory.)

### 3.9 Asymptotic freedom in QCD

The quark gluon vertex function leads to renormalized coupling constant  $g$  at one loop level, which is related to the bare coupling  $g_B$  as follows:

$$g_B = g \mu^{\epsilon/2} \left[1 - \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2 \epsilon} \left(11 - \frac{2n_F}{3}\right)\right]$$

(for  $N = 3$  *i.e.* SU(3) color theory. Here the factor of  $n_F$  comes from the field renormalization factor  $Z_A$  for vacuum polarization). Using  $\frac{\partial g_B}{\partial \mu} = 0$ , we get

$$\beta(g) = \mu \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mu} = -\epsilon \mu^{-\epsilon} \frac{g^3}{16\pi^2 \epsilon} \left(11 - \frac{2n_F}{3}\right)$$

(Corrections are higher loop order.) So

$$\beta(g) = -\frac{g^3}{16\pi^2} \left[ 11 - \frac{2n_F}{3} \right]$$

For number of quark flavors  $n_F < 16$  (we have only 6) we have  $\beta(g) < 0$  *i.e.*, a **negative  $\beta$  function**. This implies that  $g$  decreases with increasing momentum scale and the theory is asymptotically free.  $g = 0$  is an ultraviolet fixed point.

From

$$t \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = \beta(g) = -\frac{g^3}{16\pi^2} \left[ 11 - \frac{2n_F}{3} \right]$$

We can solve for  $g$  and using  $\frac{g^2}{4\pi} = \alpha_s$

We get

$$\alpha = \frac{4\pi\alpha_0}{4\pi + \alpha_0 \left( 11 - \frac{2n_F}{3} \right) \ln \frac{Q^2}{Q_0^2}}$$

with  $Q^2/Q_0^2 = t^2/t_0^2$ , where  $Q$  is the momentum.

Another way of writing  $\alpha$  is to define

$$\left( 11 - \frac{2}{3}n_F \right) \alpha_0 \ln Q_0^2 - 4\pi = \left( 11 - \frac{2}{3}n_F \right) \alpha_0 \ln \Lambda^2$$

Then we get

$$\alpha_s(Q^2) = \frac{4\pi}{\left( 11 - \frac{2n_F}{3} \right) \ln Q^2/\Lambda^2}$$

$\Lambda$  is the QCD scale fixed by various scattering processes (e.g. high energy  $e^+e^- \rightarrow$  hadrons). One has  $\alpha_s((100\text{GeV})^2) = 0.2 \Rightarrow \Lambda = 112\text{MeV}$  for  $n_F = 6$ .

The current discussed value of the scale parameter of QCD,  $\Lambda_{QCD}$  ranges from 100 MeV to 300 MeV.

Decrease of  $\alpha_s$  with  $Q^2$  in QCD is due to antiscreening from colored gluons.  $q\bar{q}$  pairs still give usual screening [1]. That is why for a sufficiently large value of  $n_F$  there is no asymptotic freedom.

### 3.10 Running of $\alpha_s$ with Momentum Scale

#### Implications of running coupling constant in QCD and the QGP

We have seen that the coupling constant in QCD becomes smaller at large energy scales and the theory is asymptotically free:

$$\alpha_s(q^2) = \frac{4\pi}{(11 - 2n_F/3) \ln q^2/\Lambda^2}$$

This means that the interactions between quarks and gluons become weaker at very high energies, while they are strong at lower energies.

*Thus a collection of quarks and gluons interacting with each other with typical momentum transfer much larger than  $\Lambda$  should constitute a weakly interacting system of particles. As we mentioned earlier, typical value of  $\Lambda$  (from scattering experiments) is about 200 MeV.*

Thus, we expect that if a system of quarks and gluon was at a temperature much higher than several hundred MeV, then the coupling constant will be small and the system should behave as an ideal gas. In such a system we do not expect the effects of confinement of QCD interaction to survive. This system of quarks and gluons where quarks and gluons are no more confined within the region of a hadron ( $\sim 1$  fm size) is called the **quark-gluon plasma (QGP)**.

In the other limit, when quark and gluons have small energies, say they are at small temperatures, then we expect the coupling constant to become strong. This is the domain where confinement takes place and all quarks and gluons are confined inside hadrons.

We expect that the transition between this low energy hadronic domain to high energy (temperature) QGP domain is a phase transition. This is called the **confinement-deconfinement phase transition**, or, **the quark-hadron phase transition**.

### 3.11 High density behavior

Even at sufficiently high density, (compressed baryonic matter) we expect that hadrons should be almost overlapping. For example, in neutron star cores very high baryon densities are achieved. At such densities, typical separation between constituent quarks of different hadrons become much less than 1 fm or  $(200 \text{ MeV})^{-1}$ . This means, again, that the effective coupling constant for quark-gluon interaction should become very small at such high densities. We can then expect that a state like QGP may exist at very high densities also.



One needs to be careful here as at such high densities many body quantum effects can play important role if temperatures are not very high. One expects exotic states like color superconductor to form at very high baryon densities.

In this section we saw that at the most qualitative level, that the asymptotic freedom of QCD suggest that there should be a domain of QCD where a system of hadrons if heated to very high temperature (much above few hundred MeV) should transform to a weakly interaction system of quarks and gluons, i.e. QGP.

**This expectation is strongly supported by lattice calculations and other phenomenological approaches, and we will now discuss some of these.**

What we need is to study the system of quarks and gluons at high temperatures. That is QCD at finite temperatures.

## 4 Field theory at finite temperature

In the following, we will discuss the basic formalism for finite temperature field theory [3]. We will then specialize to our requirement of a system of fermions (quarks) and bosons (gluons) at finite temperature. Further details of finite temperature QCD will be discussed where and when required.

### 4.1 Partition Function

We know that all thermodynamic properties for a system in equilibrium can be derived once we know its partition function

$$Z = \text{Tr} e^{-\beta H} \quad \beta = \frac{1}{T}$$

where Tr stands for the trace, or the sum over the expectation values in any complete basis. Thus

$$Z = \int d\phi_a \langle \phi_a | e^{-\beta H} | \phi_a \rangle$$

We now recall the expression for the transition amplitude in the path integral formalism

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_1 | e^{-iH(t_1-t_2)} | \phi_2 \rangle &\simeq \langle \phi(\vec{x}_1, t_1) | \phi(\vec{x}_2, t_2) \rangle \\ &= N' \int D\phi e^{iS} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi$  is the basic quantum field variable,  $N'$  is an irrelevant normalization constant and  $S$  is the action

$$S[\phi] = \int_{t_2}^{t_1} dt \int d^3x L$$

where  $L$  is the Lagrangian density of the system. The functional integral (path integral) is defined over paths which satisfy

$$\phi(\vec{x}_1, t_1) = \phi_1, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(\vec{x}_2, t_2) = \phi_2$$

$\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are the fixed end points. There is no integration over these fixed end points.

From the expression of the partition function we can easily see that  $Z$  can be written in terms of a path integral if we identify  $t_1 - t_2$  with  $-i\beta$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\beta) &= \text{Tr} e^{-\beta H} = \int d\phi_1 \langle \phi_1 | e^{-\beta H} | \phi_1 \rangle \\ &= N' \int D\phi e^{-S_E} \end{aligned}$$

where  $S_E$  is the Euclidean action ( $t \rightarrow it$ ),

$$S_E = \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d^3x L_E$$

Furthermore, in view of  $\text{Tr}$ , we require that in the path integral the integration is done only over those field variables which satisfy periodic boundary conditions

$$\phi(\vec{x}, \beta) = \phi(\vec{x}, 0)$$

Note that here the end points are also being integrated over as there is a sum over states in  $\text{Tr} e^{-\beta H}$ . We will see that for fermions one gets antiperiodic boundary conditions. Boundary conditions on field variables can be seen by examining the properties of the thermal Green's function defined by

$$G(x, y; \tau, 0) = Z^{-1} \text{Tr} (e^{-\beta H} T [\phi(x, \tau) \phi(y, 0)])$$

where  $T$  is the imaginary time ordering operator. We have for bosons

$$T [\phi(\tau_1) \phi(\tau_2)] = \phi(\tau_1) \phi(\tau_2) \theta(\tau_1 - \tau_2) + \phi(\tau_2) \phi(\tau_1) \theta(\tau_2 - \tau_1)$$

whereas for fermions we have

$$T [\psi(\tau_1)\psi(\tau_2)] = \psi(\tau_1)\psi(\tau_2)\theta(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - \psi(\tau_2)\psi(\tau_1)\theta(\tau_2 - \tau_1)$$

from the anticommuting properties of fermions.

For bosons we see, using the cyclic property of the trace that

$$\begin{aligned} G(x, y; \tau, 0) &= Z^{-1}Tr [e^{-\beta H} \phi(x, \tau)\phi(y, 0)] \\ &= Z^{-1}Tr [e^{-\beta H} e^{\beta H} \phi(y, 0)e^{-\beta H} \phi(x, \tau)] \\ &= Z^{-1}Tr [e^{-\beta H} \phi(y, \beta)\phi(x, \tau)] \end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi(y, \beta) = e^{\beta H} \phi(y, 0)e^{-\beta H}$  in analogy with the real time Heisenberg time evolution.

$$\phi(y, t) = e^{iHt} \phi(y, 0)e^{-iHt}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} G(x, y; \tau, 0) &= Z^{-1}Tr (e^{-\beta H} T [\phi(x, \tau)\phi(y, \beta)]) \\ \text{or, } G(x, y; \tau, 0) &= G(x, y, \tau, \beta) \end{aligned}$$

This implies the periodic boundary condition for bosons is

$$\phi(y, 0) = \phi(y, \beta).$$

It is then straightforward to see that for fermions we will get

$$\begin{aligned} G(x, y; \tau, 0) &= -G(x, y; \tau, \beta) \\ \Rightarrow \psi(x, 0) &= -\psi(x, \beta) \end{aligned}$$

The important lesson for us is that in the functional integral representation for the partition function, the integration over the field variables is restricted to those fields which are

1. Bosons : periodic in (imaginary) time with period  $\beta$ .
2. Fermions : antiperiodic in (imaginary) time with period  $\beta$ .

This will be important to us when we discuss the confinement - deconfinement phase transition and the Polyakov loop order parameter for that transition.

We now come back to discussing a system of bosons or fermions. We are familiar from the standard results from statistical mechanics that the partition function:

1. For one bosonic degree of freedom (one state of energy  $w$ ) :

$$E = wN, \quad \text{and}$$

$$N = \frac{1}{e^{\beta(w-\mu)} - 1} \quad (\text{Bose-Einstein distribution})$$

$N$  ranges continuously from zero to  $\infty$ .  $\mu$  is the chemical potential.

2. For fermions

$$N = \frac{1}{e^{\beta(w-\mu)} + 1} \quad (\text{Fermi-Dirac distribution}), \quad N \text{ ranges from } 0 \text{ to } 1$$

One can re derive these expressions using finite temperature field theory methods. With these, we can obtain various thermodynamic properties of a system consisting of fermions or bosons.

#### 4.1.1 Quarks

Let us write down the expressions for the energy density and pressure for a system consisting of a relativistic gas of fermions (quarks). The number of quarks in a volume  $V$  with momentum  $p$  within the interval  $dp$  is:

$$dN_q = g_q V \frac{4\pi p^2 dp}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{1 + e^{(p-\mu_q)/T}}$$

This is the Fermi Dirac distribution.  $\mu_q$  is the chemical potential (same as the quark Fermi energy) and  $g_q = N_c N_s N_f$  is the number of independent degrees of freedom of quarks (degeneracy of quarks). Let us take the case of  $\mu_q = 0$ , so the density of quark and antiquarks is the same.

We can now write down the energy of the massless quarks in the system of volume  $V$  and temperature  $T$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E_q &= \frac{g_q V}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{p^3 dp}{1 + e^{p/T}} \quad \text{for massless quarks with } E \simeq p \\ &= \frac{g_q V}{2\pi^2} T^4 \int_0^\infty \frac{z^3 dz}{1 + e^z} \\ &= \frac{g_q V}{2\pi^2} T^4 \int_0^\infty z^3 dz e^{-z} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n e^{-nz} \\ &= \frac{g_q V}{2\pi^2} T^4 \Gamma(4) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{1}{(n+1)^4} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the gamma function. It is easy to show that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{1}{(n+1)^4} = (1 - 2^{-3})\zeta(4)$$

where  $\zeta(4)$  is the Riemann zeta function.

$$\zeta(4) = \sum_{m=1,2,\dots} \frac{1}{m^4} = \frac{\pi^4}{90}$$

Thus, we get

$$E_q = \frac{7}{8} g_q V \frac{\pi^2}{30} T^4$$

We know that for massless fermions and bosons, the pressure is related to the energy density  $\rho = E/V$  as

$$P = \frac{1}{3} \rho$$

Hence, the pressure due to quarks is

$$P_q = \frac{7}{8} g_q \frac{\pi^2}{90} T^4$$

Similarly, the pressure due to antiquarks is given by the same expression with  $g_q \rightarrow g_{\bar{q}}$ .

We can also obtain the number density of the quarks and antiquarks as

$$\begin{aligned} n_q &= n_{\bar{q}} = \frac{g_q}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{p^2 dp}{1 + e^{p/T}} \\ &= \frac{g_q}{2\pi^2} T^3 \frac{3}{2} \zeta(3) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\zeta(3) = 1.20205$ .

#### 4.1.2 Gluons

Let us now write down the energy of gluons in a system of volume  $V$  and Temperature  $T$  using the Bose-Einstein distribution for bosons

$$E_g = \frac{g_g V}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{\infty} p^3 dp \left( \frac{1}{e^{p/T} - 1} \right)$$

where  $g_g$  is the gluon degeneracy  $g_g = \text{number of different gluons} \times \text{number of polarization} = 8 \times 2 = 16$ .

We get

$$E_g = \frac{g_g V}{2\pi^2} T^4 \int_0^\infty \frac{z^3 dz}{e^z - 1}$$

Following earlier steps, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_g &= \frac{g_g V}{2\pi^2} T^4 \int_0^\infty z^3 dz e^{-z} \sum_{n=0}^\infty e^{-nz} \\ &= \frac{g_g V}{2\pi^2} T^4 \Gamma(4) \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(n+1)^4} = \frac{g_g V}{2\pi^2} T^4 \Gamma(4) \zeta(4) \\ \text{or, } E_g &= g_g V \frac{\pi^2}{30} T^4 \end{aligned}$$

Note the absence of factor  $\frac{7}{8}$  for bosons compared to fermions.

Again, using  $P = \frac{1}{3}\rho$ , we get the pressure for the gluon gas as:

$$P_g = g_g \frac{\pi^2}{90} T^4$$

The number density of gluons is

$$\begin{aligned} n_g &= \frac{g_g}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty p^2 dp \left( \frac{1}{e^{p/T} - 1} \right) \\ &= \frac{g_g}{2\pi^2} T^3 \Gamma(3) \zeta(3) = \frac{g_g}{\pi^2} 1.202 T^3 \end{aligned}$$

The net energy density of a system of quarks and gluons at temperature  $T$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{QGP} &= \rho_{q\bar{q}} + \rho_g \\ &= \left[ \frac{7}{8} (g_q + g_{\bar{q}}) + g_g \right] \frac{\pi^2}{30} T^4 \\ g_q &= g_{\bar{q}} = N_C N_S N_F = 3 \times 2 \times 6 \end{aligned}$$

$N_C$ ,  $N_S$  and  $N_F$  are the number of Colors, spin and flavor states of the quarks and  $g_g = 16$ , so

$$\rho_{QGP} = \left( \frac{7}{8} \times 72 + 16 \right) \frac{\pi^2}{30} T^4$$

Of course, this assumes that all the quark flavors can be treated as massless at the temperature  $T$ . So, the above expression is valid only for  $T \gg m_{top} \simeq 170$  GeV.

Let us calculate  $\rho_{QGP}$  near the expected transition temperature of few hundred MeV, say at  $T = 200$  MeV. At this temperature, only  $u$  and  $d$  quarks can be taken to be approximately massless.

Thus, for  $T = 200$  MeV

$$g_{q+\bar{q}} = 2 \times 3 \times 2 \times 2 = 24$$

where the factors correspond to  $q$  and  $\bar{q}$ ,  $N_C$ ,  $N_S$  and  $N_F = u, d$ . So

$$\rho_{QGP} = \left( \frac{7}{8} \times 24 + 16 \right) \frac{\pi^2}{30} T^4$$

or  $\rho_{QGP} = \frac{37\pi^2}{30} T^4$

For  $T = 200$  MeV and using  $1 \text{ fm} = (200 \text{ MeV})^{-1}$ , we get  $\rho_{QGP} \simeq \frac{37}{3} (200 \text{ MeV})^4 \simeq 2.5 \text{ GeV}/\text{fm}^3$ .

This is the energy density of a system of quarks and gluons in thermal equilibrium at a temperature of about 200 MeV.

Thus, if we are able to create a dense system of partons (quarks and gluons) with an energy density much above this and one can argue for thermal equilibrium to exist then we should expect that a state of QGP will be achieved.

**This is what is expected to happen in relativistic heavy-ion collision experiments where the nuclei colliding at ultra high energies create quarks, antiquarks and gluons with a central density which is expected to be much above 3 GeV/fm<sup>3</sup>.**

We saw how asymptotic freedom in QCD leads us to believe in the existence of a QGP state at high temperatures (and high densities). We will now briefly discuss here how the prediction of the QGP phase arises in the context of phenomenological models of QCD which were used very successfully to account for different properties of hadrons.

## 5 Quark confinement

We know that quarks cannot be isolated, and are confined inside hadrons. On the other hand, the asymptotic freedom of QCD implies that at very short distances (or large energies) the

quark-gluon coupling goes to zero, so quarks become almost free. There have been many phenomenological models which incorporate these two features and try to calculate properties of hadrons [2].

## 5.1 Potential models

Here one assumes a contribution of  $-\frac{1}{r}$  Coulombic potential and a confining potential ( $+\lambda r$ ) between quarks and calculates the spectrum. (We will discuss this later for the  $J/\psi$  suppression signal.) These models work well for heavy quarks but for light quarks the properties of bound states with a confining potential become difficult to calculate.

## 5.2 String model of quark confinement

Here one takes hadrons to be string like objects where quarks are bound by ‘strings’ or tubes of color flux. This model arose from a certain property of hadrons known as Regge trajectory behavior where it is seen that hadrons seem to lie on lines given by  $J \sim M^2$  in the  $J$  vs  $M^2$  plane. Here  $J$  is the spin and  $M$  is the mass of the hadron.

It can be shown that a relativistic rotating string leads to this type of relationship between  $J$  and  $M^2$ . This gave birth to the string model of hadrons.

It was this string model whose attempted quantization and subsequent development eventually led to the modern string theory where every elementary particle is supposed to correspond to a fundamental strings. In the present form it does not have anything in common with the initial string model of hadrons. (Though, it has been recently suggested that these may be intimately connected at a deeper level.)

The string model of hadrons still provides a good description of certain properties of hadrons and of hadron production. For example, in scattering experiments, the production of hadrons is often modeled using a phenomenological string model. As  $q$  and  $\bar{q}$  created in  $e^+ e^-$  annihilations separate with ultrahigh energies, a string stretches between them. After some stretching, it becomes unfavorable for the string to stretch further and it breaks by creating a  $q\bar{q}$  pair. Now the individual string pieces keep stretching and further keep breaking. Eventually relative velocities between a  $q\bar{q}$  pair connected to a single string segment becomes very small so that no further string breaking is possible. The resulting system consists of hadrons. The creation of  $qq$  and  $\bar{q}\bar{q}$  pairs by string breaking leads to the formation of baryons.

Such string models of hadron formation are usually called fragmentation models and



are widely used in various Monte Carlo programs simulating hadron production in  $e^+e^-$  or hadron-hadron scattering experiments.

These models are especially successful in describing the production of jets in these experiments.

**Note:**

1. In the string model of confinement, the potential energy of  $q\bar{q}$  pair increases with distance as  $\lambda r$ , where  $\lambda$  is the mass per unit length of the string. This is exactly like the linear term in the potential models. So for a  $q\bar{q}$  system

$$V(r) = -\frac{a}{r} + \lambda r$$

2. QCD strings to fundamental strings : The appearance of a spin-2 massless particle in the spectrum of strings could be possibly understood as a certain pomeron excitation in QCD. But there were problems with the requirement of 26 dimension for the QCD string model. For fundamental string theory models this spin-2 massless particle provided additional motivation as it could be identified with the graviton. Thus the fundamental string could naturally incorporate gravity along with other types of elementary particles.

### 5.3 Bag models

We now discuss another class of phenomenological models which account for the confinement of quarks inside hadrons as well as the physics of asymptotic freedom. We will then use these models to reach a definite quantitative prediction of the transition to a QGP state.

There are many different versions of the Bag model. Here we will describe the MIT Bag model which contains the essential characteristics of the phenomenology of quark confinement [5]. We will also use it to understand the circumstances of how quarks can become deconfined in the new QGP phase.

In this model one assumes that quarks are confined within a sphere of radius  $R$ . Quarks are assumed to be free inside the sphere, which is in the spirit of asymptotic freedom. ( $R$  will be less than 1 fm, so the coupling constant should be small for such short distances). It is further assumed that quarks cannot go outside this sphere, *i.e.* they are infinitely heavy

outside. This captures the physics of confinement of quarks inside hadrons (the coupling constant is large for large distances).

One therefore solves the Dirac equation for a free fermion of mass  $m$

$$i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi(x) = m\psi(x)$$

This equation is solved in a spherical region of space of radius  $R$ . By using appropriate boundary conditions, *i.e.*, no current flows across the surface of such a sphere we get quantized energy levels

$$\omega = \left( m^2 + \frac{x^2}{R^2} \right)^{1/2}$$

using spherical Bessel function  $j_l$ . Here  $x \simeq 2.04$  for the lowest level with  $l = 0$ .

For a system of several quarks with different flavors and masses  $m_i$ , the total energy of the quark system is

$$E = \sum_i \left( m_i^2 + \frac{x_i^2}{R^2} \right)^{1/2} N_i$$

where  $N_i$  is number of quarks of the same type.

We note that this energy can be lowered by increasing  $R$ . Thus, there is no automatic confinement in the model, unless one artificially fixes the value of  $R$ .

To prevent an increase in  $R$  one introduces a ‘pressure’ term  $B$  which stabilizes the system. This is the essential feature of the MIT Bag model [5]

This bag pressure is directed inwards, and is a phenomenological quantity introduced to take into account the non-perturbative effects of QCD. Quarks and gluons are all confined inside the bag. In this description, the total matter inside the bag must be colorless by virtue of Gauss’s law. We know that this allows for  $qqq$  and  $q\bar{q}$  states inside the bag.

With this bag pressure, the total energy becomes

$$E(R) = \sum_i N_i \left( m_i^2 + \frac{x_i^2}{R^2} \right)^{1/2} + \frac{4\pi R^3}{3} B$$

One can now minimize  $E(R)$  w.r.t  $R$  to get the equilibrium configuration.

Since  $u, d$  are light, we may set  $m_u = m_d = 0$  and get

$$E(R) = \frac{2.04}{R} N + \frac{4\pi R^3}{3} B$$

(Recall,  $\frac{1}{R}$  is the characteristic momentum and hence the energy for a massless particle confined in a region of size  $R$ ). Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial E}{\partial R} &= 0 \Rightarrow -\frac{2.04}{R^2}N + 4\pi R^2 B = 0 \\ \text{or } R &= \frac{(N \times 2.04)^{1/4}}{(4\pi B)^{1/4}}\end{aligned}$$

Putting this back into the expression for  $E(R)$  we get

$$E = \frac{4}{3}(4\pi B)^{1/4}(N \times 2.04)^{3/4}$$

From the relation between  $R$  and  $B$ , if we take the confinement radius to be 0.8 fm for a 3 quark system in a baryon then we get (say for  $uud$  or  $udd$ , i.e. proton or neutron)

$$B^{1/4} = 206 \text{ MeV}$$

The value of the bag pressure  $B^{1/4}$  ranges from about 145 MeV to 235 MeV.

## 5.4 Transition to the QGP state in the Bag model

The physics of the Bag model implies that if the pressure of the quark matter inside the bag is increased, there will be a point when the pressure directed outward will be greater than the inward bag pressure.

When this happens, the bag pressure cannot balance the outward quark matter pressure and the bag cannot confine the quark matter contained inside. A new phase of matter containing the quarks and gluons in an unconfined state is then possible. This is the QGP phase.

The main condition for a new phase of quark matter (QGP) is the occurrence of a large pressure exceeding the bag pressure  $B$ .

A large pressure of quark matter arises in two ways

1. When the temperature of the matter is high (this is when QGP forms at high temperature as in the early universe).
2. When the baryon density is high (this is when QGP forms at high baryon density, as possibly in the cores of neutron stars).

### 5.4.1 QGP at high temperature

Let us recall the pressure of a quark-gluon system at temperature  $T$ . The total pressure is

$$P = g_{total} \frac{\pi^2}{90} T^4$$

$$g_{total} = \left[ g_g + \frac{7}{8} \times (g_q + g_{\bar{q}}) \right]$$

By taking only light  $u$  and  $d$  quarks, we have seen that  $g_{total} = 37$ , so we get

$$P = 37 \frac{\pi^2}{90} T^4$$

By equating it to the Bag pressure  $B$ , we can get an estimate of the critical temperature for the transition to QGP state

$$37 \frac{\pi^2}{90} T_c^4 = B$$

$$\Rightarrow T_c = \left[ \frac{90}{37\pi^2} \right]^{1/4} B^{1/4}$$

For  $B^{1/4} = 206$  MeV, we get  $T_c \simeq 144$  MeV.

We will later discuss that the current estimates for  $T_c$  from lattice computations are near 170 MeV. Note that this is of the same order as expected from the running coupling constant argument when  $\alpha_s$  becomes small near  $q^2 \sim (200\text{MeV})^2$

### 5.4.2 QGP with high baryon density

We now discuss the possibility where the pressure inside a bag can be large enough to lead to the deconfined QGP state even at  $T = 0$  due to high baryon density. In this case the pressure arising from the Fermi momentum of quarks will be large enough to balance the bag pressure, leading to the QGP state. Since this situation arises when the baryon number density is very high, we neglect effects of antiquarks and gluons. Again, the number of states in a volume  $V$  with momentum  $p$  within the momentum interval  $dp$  is

$$\frac{g_q V}{(2\pi)^3} 4\pi p^2 dp$$

As each state is occupied by one quark, the total number of quarks, upto the quark Fermi momentum  $\mu_q$  (*i.e.*, the chemical potential) is

$$\begin{aligned} N_q &= \frac{g_q V}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^{\mu_q} 4\pi p^2 dp \\ &= \frac{g_q V}{6\pi^2} \mu_q^3 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the number density of quarks ( $N/V$ ) is

$$n_q = \frac{g_q}{6\pi^2} \mu_q^3$$

[Note:

$$\begin{aligned} dp n_q &= \frac{g_q}{(2\pi)^3} 4\pi p^2 dp \left[ 1 + \exp\left(\frac{p - \mu_q}{T}\right) \right]^{-1} \\ dp n_{\bar{q}} &= \frac{g_{\bar{q}}}{(2\pi)^3} 4\pi p^2 dp \left[ 1 + \exp\left(\frac{p + \mu_q}{T}\right) \right]^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Consider the case of very large value of  $\mu_q$ ,  $\frac{\mu_q}{T} \gg 1$ . Then we see that

$$n_q dp \simeq \frac{g_q}{(2\pi)^3} 4\pi p^2 dp \left( \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{p - \mu_q}{T}\right)} \right) \quad (1)$$

The factor in bracket is 1 for  $p < \frac{\mu_q}{T}$  and approximately 0 for  $p > \frac{\mu_q}{T}$ , whereas  $n_{\bar{q}} dp \simeq 0$  always as  $p > 0$

Thus, for the case of complete degeneracy *i.e.*  $\frac{\mu_q}{T} \gg 1$  we have (starting with a Fermi-Dirac distribution, )

$$\begin{aligned} n_q dp &\simeq \frac{g_q}{(2\pi)^3} 4\pi p^2 dp \quad \text{for } p < \mu_q \\ &\simeq 0 \quad \text{for } p > \mu_q \\ \text{and } n_{\bar{q}} dp &\simeq 0 \quad \text{always.} \end{aligned}$$

The energy of the quark gas in volume  $V$  is

$$\begin{aligned} E_q &= \frac{g_q V}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^{\mu_q} (4\pi p^3) dp \\ &= \frac{g_q V}{8\pi^2} \mu_q^4 \end{aligned}$$

So the energy density is

$$\rho_q = \frac{g_q}{8\pi^2} \mu_q^4$$

Again, for massless quarks, the pressure is

$$P = \frac{1}{3} \rho = \frac{g_q}{24\pi^2} \mu_q^4$$

The transition to the QGP state will be achieved at a critical value of  $\mu_q \simeq \mu_c$  when this pressure is balanced by the bag pressure. This gives

$$P = B = \frac{g_q}{24\pi^2} \mu_c^4$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_c = \left[ \frac{24\pi^2}{g_q} \right]^{1/4} B^{1/4}$$

Using this for  $n_q$ , we get a critical number density of quarks as

$$n_q^{critical} = 4 \left( \frac{g_q}{24\pi^2} \right)^{1/4} B^{3/4}$$

The corresponding critical baryon density becomes

$$n_B^{critical} = \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{g_q}{24\pi^2} \right)^{1/4} B^{3/4}$$

Again, taking only  $u, d$  flavors, we take  $g_q = 3 \times 2 \times 2 = 12$  for 3 colors, 2 spin and 2 flavors  $u$  and  $d$ .

Using  $B^{1/4} = 206$  MeV we get  $n_B^{critical} = 0.72/fm^3$  corresponding to the critical value of the chemical potential  $\mu_c = 434$  MeV.

These values for the transition to the QGP state should be compared with the nucleon number density  $n_B = 0.14/fm^3$  for normal nuclear matter in equilibrium.

Thus, the critical baryon density is about 5 times the normal nuclear matter density. When the density of baryons exceeds this critical density, the baryon bag pressure is not strong enough to withstand the pressure due to the degeneracy of quarks and a transition to a new deconfined QGP state is possible.

Note that all these estimates for  $T_c, n_c, \mu_c$ , are based on the phenomenological Bag model and not from detailed calculations from QCD. Such calculation are possible from lattice gauge theories and they show that these estimates are roughly correct.

We are now in a position to have a rough picture of the phase diagram of strongly interacting matter. For low temperatures  $T$  and chemical potential  $\mu_b$  we have hadronic matter while at high temperatures and/or  $\mu_b$  we get QGP. Later we will discuss this QCD phase diagram in more detail and discuss various interesting phases and expected phase transitions. At present we note that our search for the QGP state leads us to consider where one can create high temperature and/or high density matter.

## 6 Relativistic Heavy-ion collisions

We will now discuss relativistic heavy-ion collisions where such conditions are expected to arise [4]. Let us first discuss some useful variables which will be needed to describe particle production and evolution in relativistic heavy-ion collision experiments (RHICE). (We will reserve RHIC for the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider at Brookhaven National Laboratory, USA).

### 6.1 Rapidity Variable

Rapidity is a very useful variable to describe particle production in scattering experiments. It is defined as

$$y = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{P_0 + P_z}{P_0 - P_z} \right)$$

where  $P_0$  and  $P_z$  are time and  $z$  components of the momentum of the particle. The  $z$ -axis is typically taken along the beam direction. Depending on the sign of  $P_z$ ,  $y$  can be positive or negative.

**Exercise 1 :** In the non-relativistic limit the rapidity of a particle traveling in the longitudinal direction (we take this to be along  $z$  axis) is equal to  $v/C$ , as can be easily checked.

**Exercise 2 :**  $y$  depends on the reference frame in a simple manner. One can show that under a Lorentz transformation from the laboratory frame  $F$  to a new coordinate frame  $F'$  moving with a velocity  $\beta$  in the  $z$ -direction, the rapidity  $y'$  of the particle in the new frame  $F'$  is related to the rapidity  $y$  in the old frame  $F$  by

$$y' = y - y_\beta \quad \text{where } y_\beta = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{1 + \beta}{1 - \beta} \right)$$

$y_\beta$  is called the rapidity of the moving frame.

For a free particle which is on mass-shell, its four momentum has only three degrees of freedom and can be represented as  $(y, P_T)$ , where  $P_T$  is the transverse momentum (transverse to the  $z$ -axis). The  $z$ -axis will later be chosen to be along the beam direction in RHICE.

**Exercise 3 :** We can relate the 4-momentum:  $(P_0, \vec{P})$  and  $(y, P_T)$  as below. From the definition of rapidity, we have

$$e^y = \sqrt{\frac{P_0 + P_z}{P_0 - P_z}} \text{ and } e^{-y} = \sqrt{\frac{P_0 - P_z}{P_0 + P_z}}$$

Adding these equations we get

$$P_0 = m_T \cosh(y)$$

where  $m_T$  is the transverse mass of the particle

$$m_T^2 = m^2 + P_T^2$$

Subtracting the above two equations gives

$$P_z = m_T \sinh(y)$$

Thus, the information contained in  $(P_0, \vec{P})$  is all contained in  $(y, P_T)$ .

We saw that the rapidity of a particle in a moving frame is equal to the rapidity in the laboratory frame minus the rapidity of the frame. This is quite like the law of addition of velocities in Galilean relativity. Thus, it is often useful to treat the rapidity variable as a **Relativistic measure** of the velocity of the particle.

## 6.2 Pseudorapidity variable

To characterize the rapidity of a particle, it is necessary to measure two properties of the particle, such as its energy and its longitudinal momentum.

In many experiments it is only possible to measure the angle of the detected particle relative to the beam axis. In that case, it is convenient to utilize this information by using the Pseudorapidity variable  $\eta$  to characterize the detected particle.  $\eta$  is defined as:

$$\eta = -\ln[\tan(\theta/2)]$$



where  $\theta$  is the angle between the particle momentum  $\vec{P}$  and the beam axis. In terms of the momentum, the pseudorapidity variable can be written as

$$\eta = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[ \frac{|\vec{P}| + P_Z}{|\vec{P}| - P_Z} \right]$$

By comparing the expression for the rapidity  $y$ , we see that  $\eta$  coincides with  $y$  when the momentum is large, *i.e.* when  $|\vec{P}| \simeq P_0$ .

By transforming variables from  $(y, P_T)$  to  $(\eta, P_T)$  we can transform rapidity distributions and pseudorapidity distributions to each other.

### 6.2.1 Mandelstam variables

For a scattering process,  $AB \rightarrow CD$ , the Mandelstam variables  $s, t, u$  are defined as

$$s = (P_A + P_B)^2, \quad t = (P_A - P_C)^2$$

$$u = (P_A - P_D)^2$$

$\sqrt{s}$  is the center of mass energy. For center of mass(CM) frame  $\vec{P}_B = -\vec{P}_A$

$$s = (P_A + P_B)_\mu (P_A + P_B)^\mu$$

$$= (E_A + E_B)^2 - (\vec{P}_A - \vec{P}_A)^2$$

$$= 4E^2 \text{ if } M_A = M_B$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{s} = 2E$$

If  $A$  and  $B$  have the same mass, say  $M$ , then laboratory energy  $E_{lab}$  (where one particle is at rest) is related to  $E_{CM}$  by

$$E_{lab} = \frac{E_{CM}^2}{2M} - M$$

For RHICE,  $M$  should be the mass of a single proton. Then

$$E_{CM} = \sqrt{s} = \sqrt{2M^2 + 2M E_{lab}} \simeq \sqrt{2M E_{lab}}$$

For example: for 200 GeV Pb<sub>206</sub> on Pb<sub>206</sub> collision in lab frame

$$E_{CM} = \sqrt{2 \times 1\text{GeV} \times 200\text{GeV}} \simeq 20 \text{ GeV}$$

In the laboratory frame much of the energy goes in generating momenta of final particles, whereas in the center of mass frame the entire energy can be spent in creating final particles which can have even zero momenta. That is why beam-beam collisions are preferred.

## 7 Bjorken's picture of relativistic heavy-ion collisions

Bjorken gave a simple picture of QGP formation in relativistic heavy ion collision experiments [6]. As we mentioned earlier, at ultra-high energies the initial nucleons, containing the initial quarks, primarily go through each other due to asymptotic freedom. As Lorentz contracted nuclei go through each other, the intermediate region is filled with secondary partons that are produced. The early evolution is dominated by longitudinal expansion. Note that the strictly longitudinal expansion assumption is valid only for  $t \ll R$ , the nucleus size. Overlap of the nuclei is taken to be at time  $t = 0$  in center of mass frame. This results in a longitudinally expanding plasma with the fluid in the middle being at rest. Net baryon number is contained near the receding nuclei.

At the simplest level we assume that during the collision each of the nucleon in one nucleus has undergone a collision. Essentially, one can sit in the rest frame of one nucleus, and see each nucleon being struck as the other highly Lorentz contracted nucleus passes through it.

Produced partons equilibrate in a certain time scale  $t_0$  and the system thermalizes. The value of  $t_0$  is extremely crucial for the estimate of the energy density and further evolution.

### 7.1 Estimates of the central energy density

We will make an estimate of the energy density arising in the central region by assuming that partons in this region simply arise from individual nucleon - nucleon collisions. That is, we just add the contribution of all the nucleons to get the particle and energy density in the central region. To do that, we need to know the behavior of particle production in individual nuclear-nuclear collisions. The essential features of the hadron production in, for example, proton-proton collisions are the following:

At high energy, (*e.g.*  $\sqrt{s} \sim 200$  GeV ), there exists a 'Central-Plateau' structure in the particle density as a function of the rapidity variable. This central plateau region plays a central role in developing an elegant picture of the evolution of QGP in **Bjorken's Boost invariant hydrodynamic model**.

We note that the rapidity variable in a moving frame  $y'$  is related to the rapidity  $y$  in the original frame by

$y' = y + y_{\text{frame}}$  where  $y_{\text{frame}}$  is the frame rapidity  $y_\beta$

$$y_\beta = \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{1 + \beta}{1 - \beta}\right)$$

Due to the central plateau structure, we note that particle production (*i.e.*  $\frac{dN_{ch}}{dy}$ ) will appear the same to different Lorentz observers as long as  $y'$  and  $y$  remain in 'The central rapidity region'. In this central rapidity region, the description of QGP (in terms of density, etc.) will be invariant under a Lorentz boost. This is called Bjorken's Boost invariant model.

Recall now the relation between  $(P_0, \vec{P})$  for a particle and  $(y, P_T)$

$$P_z = m_T \sinh y$$

$$m_T^2 = m^2 + P_T^2$$

$$\text{and } P_0 = m_T \cosh y$$

The velocity of the particles in the longitudinal direction is therefore

$$v_z = \frac{P_z}{P_0} = \tanh y$$

For a particle starting from the origin  $z = 0$  at  $t = 0$  ( $x, y$  are arbitrary), we have

$$\frac{z}{t} = v_z = \tanh y$$

From these one can show that

$$z = \tau \sinh y \quad \text{and} \quad t = \tau \cosh y$$

where  $\tau$  is the (fluid) proper time variable defined by  $\tau = \sqrt{t^2 - z^2}$ .

Note : This is the proper time for the fluid element and not for individual particles which have nonzero  $p_T$ . Equivalently we can show that

$$y = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{t + z}{t - z} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 + v_z}{1 - v_z}$$

(Recall the frame rapidity)

Check:

$$\frac{z}{\tau} = \frac{z t}{t \tau} = \tanh(y) \frac{t}{\sqrt{t^2 - z^2}}$$

$$\text{or } \frac{z}{\tau} = \tanh(y) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - z^2/t^2}}$$

Again

$$1 - \frac{z^2}{t^2} = 1 - \tanh^2 y = \frac{1}{\cosh y^2}$$

$$\text{So } \frac{z}{\tau} = \frac{\sinh y}{\cosh y} \cosh y$$

$$\text{or } z = \tau \sinh(y)$$

Clearly

$$\frac{t}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - z^2/t^2}} = \cosh y$$

Calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{t+z}{t-z} &= \frac{\tau(\cosh y + \sinh y)}{\tau(\cosh y - \sinh y)} \\ &= \frac{e^y + e^{-y} + e^y - e^{-y}}{e^y + e^{-y} - e^y + e^{-y}} = \frac{2e^y}{2e^{-y}} = e^{2y} \\ \Rightarrow y &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{t+z}{t-z} \\ \text{or } y &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1+v_z}{1-v_z} \end{aligned}$$

This is like frame rapidity, though here we have particle velocity.

### 7.1.1 Central rapidity region

In the center of mass system, the region of small rapidity is called "The central rapidity region". We have  $z = \tau \sinh y \simeq \tau y$  for  $y \ll 1$ . This means for a given proper time  $\tau$ , a small value of rapidity  $y$  is associated with a small value of  $z$ . Hence the central rapidity region is associated with the central spatial region around  $z \sim 0$  where the nucleon-nucleon collision has taken place.

With a relation like  $z = \tau \sinh y$ , the rapidity distribution  $\frac{dN}{dy}$  of particles can be transcribed as a spatial distribution from which the initial energy density can be inferred.

It is easier to measure pseudo rapidity variable

$$\eta = -\ln(\tan(\theta/2))$$

For ultra relativistic particles  $\eta \simeq y$

### 7.1.2 Energy density estimate

In the center of mass frame the fluid is at rest at  $z = 0$ . The volume of the region under consideration is  $S \times \Delta z$  where  $S$  is the transverse area of the Lorentz contracted nuclei. We fix our consideration to the proper time  $\tau_0$  at which a QGP system may have formed by equilibration.

So  $\tau_0$  is the time at which the initial system of quarks and gluons achieves thermal equilibrium. It is a very important quantity for which various estimates exist. This plays a crucial role in the evolution of plasma.

The number density of particles in this region at time  $\tau_0$  is

$$\frac{\Delta N}{S \Delta z} \Big|_{z=0} = \frac{1}{S} \frac{dN}{dy} \frac{dy}{dz} \Big|_{y=0}$$

where  $\frac{dN}{dy}$  refers to the observed hadrons (number of particles) per unit rapidity. From  $z = \tau \sinh y$  we have

$$\frac{dy}{dz} \Big|_{z=0} = \frac{1}{\tau_0 \cosh y} \Big|_{y=0} \text{ at } \tau = \tau_0$$

So the number density is (at  $\tau = \tau_0$ ):

$$n_0 = \frac{1}{S} \frac{dN}{dy} \frac{1}{\tau_0 \cosh y} \Big|_{y=0}$$

We have seen that the energy of a particle  $P_0$  is

$$P_0 = m_T \cosh y \quad \text{where} \quad m_T^2 = m^2 + p_T^2$$

$m_T$  is the transverse mass. So the energy density at time  $\tau_0$  is

$$\epsilon_0 = \rho_0 n_0 = \frac{m_T}{S \tau_0} \frac{dN}{dy} \Big|_{y=0}$$

This estimate was first given by Bjorken. Here one can either estimate  $\frac{dN}{dy}$  by combining the expected  $\frac{dN}{dy}$  resulting from each nuclear-nuclear collision, or, one can take  $\frac{dN}{dy} \Big|_{y=0}$  from some experiment and from that deduce  $\epsilon_0$  at time  $\tau_0$ . From that estimate one can then decide whether a QGP state is expected to have formed at  $\tau_0$  (*i.e.* if  $\epsilon_0 > 2.5 \text{ GeV}/fm^3$  from the bag model, for example).

Estimates of  $\tau_0$  range from those based on cross-section calculations to those coming from Monte-Carlo simulations. It is expected that for collision at higher center of mass energy  $\tau_0$  will be smaller.

For SPS experiment at CERN in the collision of  $^{16}\text{O}$  on  $\text{Au}$  at 200 GeV (Lab frame)

$$\frac{dN_{ch}}{d\eta} \left( \sim \text{same as } \frac{dN_{ch}}{dy} \right) \simeq 160$$

Various estimates give  $\tau_0 \sim 0.4$  fm for these energies and  $m_T \simeq 400$  MeV. Then

$$\epsilon_0 \simeq \frac{0.4\text{GeV}(\times 160)}{0.4 \text{ fm } S}$$

For a nucleus of mass number  $A$  the radius is given by

$$r \simeq 1.2A^{1/3} \text{ fm}$$

So area  $S = (1.2)^2 A^{2/3} \text{ fm}^2$ .

Putting this value we get

$$\epsilon_0 \sim 3 - 4\text{GeV}/\text{fm}^3$$

This energy is high enough that we expect that QGP may have formed. Now one sees the importance of  $\tau_0$ .

If  $\tau_0$  is larger by a factor 3, say  $\tau_0 \sim 1.2\text{fm}$ , then  $\epsilon_0 \sim 1\text{GeV}/\text{fm}^3$  and one does not expect QGP.

## 7.2 Evolution of QGP

Bjorken's picture respects boost invariance for boosts along the  $z$  axis. So physical quantities should depend only on proper time  $\tau$ . That is, we say that the energy density  $\epsilon(\tau)$  has a value  $\epsilon_0$  at  $\tau = \tau_0$ .

Recall that  $\tau = \sqrt{t^2 - z^2}$

So a given  $\tau_0$  is achieved at different values of  $t$  at different  $z$  (where  $t$  is the laboratory time, or the proper time measured at  $z = 0$ ).

We can then write down a picture of the evolution of QGP in Bjorken's model. The QGP is modeled as an ideal fluid with 4-velocity  $u_\mu$  ( $u_\mu u^\mu = 1$ ). The energy momentum tensor is

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\epsilon + P)u_\mu u_\nu - g_{\mu\nu}P$$

where  $\epsilon = \epsilon(\tau)$  and  $P = P(\tau)$  are the energy density and pressure (they only depend on  $\tau$ ).

The energy-momentum conservation equation is (neglecting effects of viscosity),

$$\frac{\partial T_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x_\mu} = 0$$

With initial conditions  $\epsilon(\tau_0) = \epsilon_0$ , and  $u_\mu(\tau_0) = \frac{1}{\tau_0}(t, 0, 0, z)$

**Exercise:** Show that the energy density evolves as

$$\frac{d\epsilon}{d\tau} = -\frac{\epsilon + P}{\tau}$$

Using the relation  $P = \frac{\epsilon}{3}$  we get  $\epsilon(\tau) \sim \tau^{-4/3}$  and using ideal gas equation  $T(\tau) \sim \tau^{-1/3}$ .

Further, one can show that

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \left( \frac{dS}{dy} \right) = 0$$

where  $\frac{dS}{dy}$  is the entropy per unit rapidity which is constant under evolution.

As the QGP system expands, it cools and eventually hadronizes at  $\tau = \tau_h$  when its temperature falls below the quark-hadron transition temperature  $T_c$  (present lattice estimates suggest a value of about 170 MeV for  $T_c$ ). Note that we only get hadrons from the freeze out surface, *i.e.* after the proper time when hadrons stop interacting. From these hadrons we have to deduce about the transient stage of QGP between  $\tau_0 < \tau < \tau_h$ . This is almost like looking at cosmic microwave background photons from the surface of last scattering. We have to deduce what happened at inflation, etc. from these photons.

This brings us to the issue of signals of QGP.

## 8 QGP Signals

We need signals of the intermediate, transient stage of QGP. This can only be in terms of some special properties of the finally detected particles [4].

We will discuss some important signals which have been proposed for the detection of QGP.

### 8.1 Production of Dileptons and photons in QGP

$$q\bar{q} \rightarrow \gamma^* \rightarrow l^+l^- \text{ (Drell - Yan process)}$$

The lepton cross-section with quarks in the QGP is electromagnetic  $\sim \left(\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{s}}\right)^2$  (with  $\alpha = \frac{1}{137}$  and  $\sqrt{s}$  the center of mass energy) and is much smaller than the strong cross-section. Therefore leptons after production do not further interact with QGP and directly reach the detector.

On the other hand, the production rate and the momentum distribution of the produced  $l^+l^-$  pairs depend on the momentum distribution of quarks and antiquarks in the plasma, which are governed by the thermodynamic condition of the plasma. Therefore,  $l^+l^-$  pairs carry information on the thermodynamic state of the medium at the moment of their production and can help us to detect whether a QGP state has been achieved.

Such production also happens by hadronic interaction. So, one needs to calculate all contributions and then compare with the data.

**Photon production:**

$$q + \bar{q} \rightarrow \gamma + g$$

$q\bar{q} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  has a smaller cross-section compared to  $q\bar{q} \rightarrow \gamma g$  by a factor  $\left(\frac{\alpha_e}{\alpha_s}\right)$ .

Detection of the photon has exactly similar information as Dileptons because photons also do not further interact with the QGP after their production.

## 8.2 $J/\psi$ Suppression

$J/\psi$  particle is a bound state of  $c\bar{c}$  quark-antiquark system (Charmonium states). As the  $c$  quark is heavy, the bound state has a small radius. (Recall  $m_c \sim 1.3$  GeV.)

These Charmonium states are well described by a potential model where the potential between  $c$  and  $\bar{c}$  is taken as

$$V(r) = -\frac{\alpha_{eff}}{r} + Kr$$

Fitting with  $c\bar{c}$  states gives  $\alpha_{eff} = 0.52$ ,  $K = 0.926$  GeV/fm with  $m_c = 1.84$  GeV. When these states are formed during the early stages of collision, then they have to survive through a QGP state if they have to be finally detected.

We know that quarks are not confined in the QGP phase so all hadrons should disappear. But that depends on the temperature scale of the QGP and the time available before the QGP hadronizes.



In the QGP phase the QCD string disappears so there is no  $Kr$  term in  $V(r)$ . However the Coulomb part could still let  $c\bar{c}$  system remain bound. However, this Coulomb interaction is modified because of Debye screening of charges in the plasma

$$V(r) \sim \frac{e^{-r/\lambda_d}}{r}$$

where  $\lambda_d$  is the Debye screening length.

If  $\lambda_d < r_{bound}$  where  $r_{bound}$  is the bound state size for the  $c\bar{c}$  state, then the Coulomb attractive part between the  $c\bar{c}$  pair is also seriously modified. (Recall that the  $Kr$  part has anyway disappeared due to the QGP.) In that case the  $c\bar{c}$  state will melt away. This will lead to the suppression of  $J/\psi$  production.

Note : If the QGP never forms then this suppression mechanisms will not be operative and one should expect a larger number of  $J/\psi$  particles.

Also for lighter mesons (made up of  $u, d, s$ ) this type of signal can not be used since they are abundantly produced in thermal processes near  $T \sim T_c$ . The  $c\bar{c}$  are too heavy to be produced like that.

### 8.3 Elliptic Flow

This signal has yielded very useful and surprising information about the equation of state of matter achieved at RHIC showing that it is like an ideal liquid.

For non central collisions with non zero impact parameter, one gets a QGP formed which is not spherical but has an ellipsoidal shape. After thermalization there is some central pressure while  $P = 0$  outside the QGP region.

Clearly the pressure gradient is larger along smaller dimension of the ellipsoid. This forces the plasma to undergo hydrodynamic expansion at a faster rate in that direction compared to the other (transverse) direction. Thus particles produced have larger momentum in that direction than in the other direction. In other words, the spatial anisotropy gets transferred to the momentum anisotropy due to hydrodynamical flow. This clearly depends crucially on the equation of state relating pressure to energy density. Thus, the observed momentum anisotropy of particle distribution can be used to extract useful information about hydrodynamic flow at very early stages probing directly the equation of state of the QGP.

If thermalization is delayed by a time  $\Delta\tau$ , any elliptic flow would have to build on a reduced spatial deformation and would come out smaller.

The data seems to be in very good agreement with the prediction of ideal fluid hydrodynamics pointing to a very low viscosity of the QGP produced. The QGP does not behave as a weakly interacting quark-gluon gas as suggested by naive perturbation theory, nor does it behave like viscous honey (as suggested by some calculations). This is termed as **sQGP : Strongly Coupled QGP**, with a strong non-perturbative interaction.

## 9 Phase Transitions

Note that the signals discussed above depend on the existence of the QGP phase. We know that as the QGP expands it undergoes a phase transition to the hadronic phase (quark-hadron phase transition). Such a phase transition can have its own interesting signatures on the final particle (hadron) distribution. For such signals we should understand the nature of the phase transition expected as the QGP hadronizes.

From the partition function we get the free energy:

$$F = -T \ln Z$$

Now we consider different types of phase transitions.

### 9.1 First order phase transition

Here the free energy  $F$  is continuous but  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial T}$  is discontinuous. Recall that

$$F = E - TS, \quad S = \frac{\partial F}{\partial T}$$

$$\epsilon = \frac{E}{V} = \frac{F + T \frac{\partial F}{\partial T}}{V}$$

As  $F$  is continuous but  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial T}$  is discontinuous, we conclude that the energy density  $\epsilon$  is discontinuous as a function of temperature during a first order phase transition. The difference of the energy density  $\epsilon$  at the discontinuity gives the value of the latent heat.

## 9.2 Second order phase transition

Here the free energy  $F$  and  $\partial F/\partial T$  are continuous while  $\partial^2 F/\partial T^2$  is discontinuous (or divergent) at  $T$ . Because the specific heat at **constant volume** is related to  $\frac{\partial E}{\partial T}$  or  $\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial T^2}$ , a second order phase transition is characterized by a continuous free energy and energy density but a discontinuous (or divergent) specific heat at constant volume.

Second order transitions are also called as continuous phase transition. Here the order parameter goes to zero continuously as  $T \rightarrow T_C$ , the phase transition temperature. In contrast, the order parameter changes discontinuously as  $T \rightarrow T_C$  for a first order transition.

## 9.3 Order Parameter

The order parameter is a quantity (thermodynamic variable) which is typically zero in one phase, the disordered phase which has higher symmetry, and is non-zero in the ordered phase having lower symmetry. (It may happen that the symmetry does not change during a phase transition, as in a liquid-gas transition.)

The free energy density plot for a second order phase transition has minimum of the free energy at zero order parameter for  $T > T_C$  while for  $T < T_C$  the minimum of the free energy shifts continuously away from the zero order parameter value. An example is given by the following free energy density,

$$F = -a\phi^2 + b\phi^4$$

,

where  $a < 0$  for  $T > T_C$  while  $a > 0$  for  $T < T_C$ .

For a first order transition the order parameter changes discontinuously through  $T_C$ . Here the transition proceeds via bubble nucleation. Following gives an example of free energy density for this case

$$F = a\phi^2 + b\phi^3 + c\phi^4$$

where  $a, c > 0$  and  $b$  changes sign through  $T_C$ , being positive for high  $T$ .

## 9.4 Landau Theory of Phase Transition

This is a phenomenological theory. This postulates that one can write down a function  $L$  known as the Landau free energy which depends on the coupling constants  $K_i$  and the order parameter  $\eta$ .  $L$  has the property that the state of the system is specified by the absolute (*i.e.* global) minimum of  $L$  w.r.t.  $\eta$ .  $L$  has dimensions of energy, and is related to the Gibbs free energy of the system. Importantly it is NOT the same as Gibbs free energy, hence there is no requirement for it to be convex function of the order parameter.

We assume that thermodynamic functions of state can be computed by differentiating  $L$ , as if it were **indeed** the Gibbs free energy.

To specify  $L$  it is sufficient to use the following constraints on  $L$  (it is not certain whether all these are necessary).

1)  $L$  has to be consistent with the symmetries of the system.

2) Near  $T_C$ ,  $L$  can be expanded in a power series in  $\eta$  *i.e.*,  $L$  is an analytic function of both  $\eta$  and the parameters  $[K]$ . In a spatially uniform system of volume  $V$ , one can express the Landau free energy density  $L$  as:

$$L = \frac{L}{V} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n([K], T) \eta^n$$

3) In an inhomogeneous system, with a spatially varying order parameter profile  $\eta(r)$ ,  $L$  is a local function, *i.e.* it depends only on  $\eta(r)$  and a finite number of derivatives.

4) In the disordered phase of the system, the order parameter  $\eta = 0$ , while it is small and non-zero in the ordered phase, near to the transition point. Thus, for  $T > T_C$ ,  $\eta = 0$  solves the minimum equation for  $L$ ; for  $T < T_C$ ,  $\eta \neq 0$  solves the minimum equation. Thus, for a homogeneous system:

$$L = \sum_{n=0}^4 a_n([K], T) \eta^n$$

where we have expanded  $L$  to  $O(\eta^4)$  in the expectation that  $\eta$  is small, and all the essential physics near  $T_C$  appears at this order. Whether or not the truncation of the power series for  $L$  is valid will turn out to depend on both the dimensionality of the system and the co-dimension of the singular point of interest.

## 9.5 Construction of $L$

Consider

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \eta} = a_1 + 2a_2\eta + 3a_3\eta^2 + 4a_4\eta^3 = 0$$

Since for  $T > T_C$ ,  $\eta = 0$ ,  $a_1 = 0$

Note: This is not true when the symmetry is also broken explicitly in which case the order parameter never completely vanishes.

If  $\eta \rightarrow -\eta$  is a symmetry of the free energy; then  $a_3 = a_5 = a_7 = \dots = 0$ . So

$$L = a_0([k], T) + a_2([K], T)\eta^2 + a_4([K], T)\eta^4$$

Note that the requirement that  $L$  be analytic in  $\eta$  precludes terms like  $|\eta|$  in  $L$ . Also note that finiteness of  $L$  requires  $a_4 > 0$ .

**Coefficients  $a_n([K], T)$  :**

$a_0([K], T)$  is simply the value of  $L$  in the high temperature phase, and we expect it to vary smoothly through  $T_C$ . It represents the degrees of freedom in the system which are not described by the order parameter, and so may be thought of as the smooth background, on which the singular behavior is superimposed. It may be said that  $L - a_0$  represents the change in the Gibbs free energy due to the presence of the ordered state, apart from the fact that  $L$  is not exactly the Gibbs free energy.

For discussing the order parameter, one may set  $a_0 = 0$ .

We expand  $a_2$  and  $a_4$  as

$$a_4 = a_4^0 + (T - T_C)a_4^1 + \dots$$

It will be sufficient to just take  $a_4$  to be a positive constant. The temperature dependence of this equation will turn out not to dominate the leading behavior of the thermodynamics near  $T_C$ .

$$a_2 = a_2^0 + \left(\frac{T - T_C}{T_C}\right) a_2^1 + 0((T - T_C)^2)$$

**Continuous phase transition:**

$$\begin{aligned} L &= at\eta^2 + b\eta^4 \\ t &= \frac{T - T_C}{T_C} \end{aligned}$$

## First order transition:

$$L = at\eta^2 + b\eta^4 - c\eta^3$$

## 9.6 Confinement-deconfinement transition

Consider the case of SU(3) gauge theory at finite temperature without dynamical quarks. We will calculate the free energy for this system with a single, infinitely heavy, test quark at position  $\mathbf{r}_0$ . (In this section we follow the discussion in ref. [7].) We start with the evolution equation for the field operator  $\psi(\mathbf{r}_0, t)$  of this static quark (suppressing the color label),

$$\left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - gA^0(\mathbf{r}_0, t)\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}_0, t) = 0$$

where  $A^0 \equiv \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{A}^0$  (see Sect. 2.3.1). This equation gives

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}_0, t) = T \exp\left(ig \int_0^t dt' A^0(\mathbf{r}_0, t')\right)\psi(\mathbf{r}_0, 0).$$

Here  $T$  denotes time ordering. Now, the partition function for this system is given by

$$Z = e^{-\beta F(\mathbf{r}_0)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_s \langle s | e^{-\beta H} | s \rangle$$

where the  $1/N$  factor ( $N = 3$  for QCD is the number of colors) is introduced to compensate for the color degeneracy factor for the static quark, and the sum is over all the states of the system with the infinitely heavy quark at  $\mathbf{r}_0$ . Using the quark field operator  $\psi(\mathbf{r}_0, t)$ , we can write it as

$$e^{-\beta F(\mathbf{r}_0)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{s_g} \langle s_g | \psi(\mathbf{r}_0, 0) e^{-\beta H} \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}_0, 0) | s_g \rangle$$

where, now, the sum is over all states  $|s_g\rangle$  with no quarks, that is, over states of pure glue theory.

Recall, from Sect. 4.1, for Euclidean time  $t$ ,

$$e^{\beta H} \psi(\mathbf{r}_0, 0) e^{-\beta H} = \psi(\mathbf{r}_0, \beta)$$

Thus, we get

$$e^{-\beta F(\mathbf{r}_0)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{s_g} \langle s_g | e^{-\beta H} \psi(\mathbf{r}_0, \beta) \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}_0, 0) | s_g \rangle$$

We introduce the Wilson line,

$$L(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr} T \exp\left(ig \int_0^\beta dt A^0(\mathbf{r}_0, t)\right).$$

With this, using the solution  $\psi(\mathbf{r}_0, t)$  of the time evolution equation above, and the equal time anti-commutation relation of the fermion fields (with discrete space labeling, for simplicity), we can write

$$e^{-\beta F(\mathbf{r}_0)} = \text{Tr} [e^{-\beta H} L(\mathbf{r}_0)]$$

where the trace is over all states of the pure glue theory. Dividing this by the free energy without any heavy fermion, we get the difference in the free energy,  $\Delta F_q$ , due to introduction of the infinitely heavy quark at  $\mathbf{r}_0$  as

$$e^{-\beta \Delta F_q} = \langle L(\mathbf{r}_0) \rangle$$

where  $\langle .. \rangle$  denotes the thermal expectation value.

Recall that  $A_0(\mathbf{r}_0, t)$  must be periodic in the Euclidean time  $t$ .

$$A_0(\mathbf{r}_0, 0) = A_0(\mathbf{r}_0, \beta)$$

Thus the  $dt$  integral in the expression for the Wilson line is actually a loop integral. This is also called as the ‘Polyakov Loop’.

$\langle L(\mathbf{r}_0) \rangle$  is an order parameter for the confinement - deconfinement phase transition

**Confining phase:**

We expect the free energy with an isolated quark to diverge, i.e.,  $\Delta F = \infty \Rightarrow \langle L \rangle = 0$ .

**Deconfining phase:**

Here isolated quarks can exist, leading to a **finite** change in the free energy w.r.t. the pure glue background, i.e.,  $\Delta F$  is finite  $\Rightarrow \langle L \rangle = e^{-\beta \Delta F} \neq 0$ .

Thus  $\langle L \rangle$  is an order parameter for the deconfinement - confinement (D-C) phase transition.

## 9.7 D-C transition as a symmetry breaking transition

Recall the gauge transformation,

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu &\rightarrow UA_\mu U^{-1} + iU\partial_\mu U^{-1} \\ U(x, t) &\in SU(N) \\ \text{where } A_\mu &\simeq A_\mu \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Under the gauge transformation,

$$\begin{aligned} L &\sim \text{Tr} \left[ T \exp \left( ig \int_0^\beta d\tau A_0(x, \tau) \right) \right] \\ &\simeq \text{Tr} \Omega(x) \end{aligned}$$

will transform as

$$L(x) \rightarrow \text{Tr} U(x, \beta) \Omega(x) U^\dagger(x, 0)$$

This can be checked by expanding the time ordered exponential. Thus  $L$  is invariant when  $U$  is periodic,

$$U(x, 0) = U(x, \beta)$$

(using the cyclic property of the trace).

However, we note that the Euclidean action

$$S_F = \frac{1}{4} \int d^3x d\tau F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{\mu\nu a}$$

is in fact invariant under a larger group than the periodic gauge transformations. The only physically important constraint is that  $A^\mu(\vec{x}, t)$  remain periodic in  $\tau$  when gauge transformed. Consider, e.g.,

$$A_\mu(x, 0) = A_\mu(x, \beta)$$

Under a gauge transformation

$$A'_\mu(x, 0) = U(x, \tau) A_\mu(x, 0) U^{-1}(x, \tau) + iU(x, \tau) \partial_\mu U^{-1}(x, \tau) |_{\tau=0}$$



Similarly,

$$A'_\mu(x, \beta) = U(x, \tau)A_\mu(x, \beta)U^{-1}(x, \tau) + iU(x, \tau)\partial_\mu U^{-1}(x, \tau)|_{\tau=\beta}$$

or,

$$A'_\mu(x, \beta) = U(x, \tau)A_\mu(x, 0)U^{-1}(x, \tau) + iU(x, \tau)\partial_\mu U^{-1}(x, \tau)|_{\tau=\beta}$$

First take  $U(x, \beta) = U(x, 0)$  due to the identification of points  $\tau = 0$  and  $\tau = \beta$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} A'_\mu(x, \beta) &= U(x, \tau)A_\mu(x, 0)U^{-1}(x, \tau) + iU(x, \tau)\partial_\mu U^{-1}(x, \tau)|_{\tau=0} \\ &= A'_\mu(x, 0) \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $A'_\mu$  also remains periodic and hence single valued.

Now note that in the above argument we could take

$$U(x, \beta) = ZU(x, 0)$$

where  $Z \in SU(3)$  (or  $Z \in SU(N)$  in general) such that  $ZU = UZ$  for every  $U \in SU(N)$  (so that  $UAU^{-1} \rightarrow ZUAU^{-1}Z^{-1} = UAU^{-1}$ ) and  $Z$  is space time independent.

Thus, as long as  $Z$  commutes with every element of  $SU(N)$ ,  $A'_\mu$  remains periodic in  $\tau$  if  $A_\mu$  is.

Elements  $Z$  constitute the center of  $SU(N)$  by definition

$$Z = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i n}{N}\right) \in Z(N)$$

where  $Z(N)$  is the cyclic group of order  $N$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ,  $n = N$  being the identity of  $SU(N)$ .

Note that

$$\text{Det}Z = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i n}{N} \times N\right) = 1$$

So  $Z \in SU(N)$  (clearly  $Z^\dagger Z = 1$ ).

For QCD we have

$$Z \in Z_3$$

Thus, we conclude that finite temperature  $SU(N)$  gauge theory (Euclidean action) has  $Z_N$  symmetry ( $Z_3$  for QCD) as the Euclidean action (or the partition function and hence the free energy) is invariant under  $Z_N$  transformations of the basic variables  $A_\mu(x)$ .

(Quarks break this  $Z_N$  symmetry explicitly because fermions obey an antiperiodic boundary condition  $\psi(x, \beta) = -\psi(x, 0)$ .)

Though the Euclidean action is invariant under this extra  $Z_N$  transformations, the order parameter  $L(x)$  is not. Recall that

$$L(x) = \text{Tr} \left( T \exp \left[ ig \int_0^\beta d\tau A_0(x, \tau) \right] \right) \equiv \text{Tr} \Omega(x).$$

Under gauge transformation  $U(x, \tau)$  we have

$$L(x) \rightarrow L'(x) = \text{Tr}[U(x, \beta)\Omega(x)U^{-1}(x, 0)].$$

If  $U(x, \beta) = ZU(x, 0)$ , we get

$$L'(x) = Z \text{Tr}[U(x, 0)\Omega(x)U^{-1}(x, 0)] = Z \text{Tr} \Omega(x) = Z L(x)$$

So, while under periodic gauge transformation,  $L \rightarrow L$ , under an aperiodic gauge transformation  $U(x, \beta) = ZU(x, 0)$

$$L \rightarrow ZL \quad \text{where} \quad Z \in Z_3$$

### **Confining Phase:**

With  $\langle L \rangle = 0$  (corresponding to  $e^{-\beta\Delta F}$ ,  $\Delta F = \infty$ ), the system respects  $Z_3$  symmetry as  $\langle L \rangle = 0$  is invariant under  $L \rightarrow ZL$  transformation.

### **Deconfining Phase:**

With  $\langle L \rangle \neq 0$ , the system is **NOT** invariant under  $Z_3$  transformation. There are 3 equivalent phases characterized by  $\langle L \rangle$ ,  $\langle ZL \rangle$ , and  $\langle Z^2L \rangle$  which all correspond to physically the same deconfining phase. We conclude that in the deconfining phase the  $Z_3$  symmetry is spontaneously broken.

Here the symmetry restored phase is the low temperature confining phase. This is in contrast to most cases, where the symmetry restoration happens in the high temperature phase.

The symmetries of the order parameter can be used to characterize the phase transition in the Ginzburg-Landau approach.

The order parameter for SU(2) gauge theory has the same symmetry as the Ising model which has a global  $Z_2$  symmetry. In 3 + 1 dimensions, the Ising model undergoes a second order transition. Hence we expect that SU(2) gauge theory exhibits a second order transition.

Similarly, Z(3) spin models in 3 + 1 dimensions display a first order transition. Hence we expect that pure SU(3) QCD will give a first order transition. Lattice calculations confirm these expectations.

Clearly, for QCD,  $L^3 \rightarrow Z^3 L^3 = L^3$ .

Thus, in the construction of  $L$  and free energy, one can write down

$$V(L) = a|L|^2 + b|L|^4 + C(L^3 + L^{*3})$$

The  $L^3$  term makes the transition first order.

Note : For SU(2) gauge theory this term cannot be written down. One can write down a term  $\text{Re } L^2$  which makes the transition second order.

## 9.8 Confinement - Deconfinement transition with dynamical quarks

As mentioned above, with quarks,  $Z(N)$  symmetry is broken explicitly (similar to explicitly breaking of chiral symmetry, in some sense.)  $\langle L \rangle$  is non-zero even in the confined phase. The confinement-deconfinement transition which is first order for pure gauge theory, is smoothed into a cross-over when light quarks are present. Lattice results seem to suggest no first order transition.

An important point to note is that with quarks, no appropriate order parameter is known.

In closing we mention that in discussing different phase transitions in QCD one is invariably in the non-perturbative regime, where reliable calculations cannot be performed. Hence one either has to do lattice calculations, or use effective models using symmetry considerations (as we did above for the D-C transition).

Thus, many theoretical discussions about the nature of the phase transition in QCD are based on the Landau theory of phase transitions. In addition, one may use Random Matrix models or specific effective models.

# Acknowledgments

I would like to thank the organizers of the SERC school at PRL for arranging a great school. Special thanks to the participants of the school for their enthusiastic participation and stimulating discussions during the course. I am very grateful to Ms. Mira Karanjgaokar for typing the manuscript, and to Mr. Ketan Patel and Mr. Sudhanwa Patra for editing and reformatting the manuscript. I also thank Mr. Abhishek Atreya for carefully checking the manuscript.

# References

- [1] M.E. Peskin and D.V. Schroeder, ‘An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory’, Perseus Books Publishing, 1995; L.H. Ryder, ‘Quantum Field Theory’, Cambridge University Press, 1985; A. Lahiri and P.B. Pal, ‘A First Book of Quantum Field Theory’, Narosa Publishing House, 2005.
- [2] C.Y. Wong, ‘Introduction to High-Energy Heavy Ion Collisions’, World Scientific, 1994.
- [3] J.I. Kapusta, ‘Finite Temperature Field Theory’, Cambridge University Press, 1989; A. Das, ‘Finite Temperature Field Theory’, World Scientific, 1997.
- [4] U. Heinz, hep-ph/0407360.
- [5] A. Chodos, R.L. Jaffe, K. Johnson, Charles B. Thorn, and V.F. Weisskopf, Phys.Rev.D9, 3471 (1974); T. DeGrand, R.L. Jaffe, K. Johnson, and J.E. Kiskis, Phys.Rev.D12, 2060 (1975).
- [6] J.D. Bjorken, Phys. Rev. **D 27** 140 (1983).
- [7] L.D. McLerran and B. Svetitsky, Phys. Rev. **D 24**, 450 (1981); L.D. McLerran, Rev. Mod. Phys. **58**, 1021 (1986).